Senate Probes
Post Veto Date

Campus Senate followed its women's hours compromise move of last meeting with an exhaustive discussion of its fate after the Fall and the future of the hours bill.

Several senators argued for dropping any further hours action until next year.

One senator spoke at length on the Senate's role in this issue. Citing one original point of the Senate as communicating between administration, faculty, and the students, he pointed out that the Senate senate in initiating the discussion of the administration, faculty, and the students. The Senate argued the students could only expect a vote under such circumstances.

Another senator countered this argument, saying that Senate administration, for example, are more likely to see the closure of the whole institution, and a smaller senator recalled that student responsibility ends at graduation, while permanent officials have to live with decisions much longer, and have more expertise.

A senator also pointed out Senate had compromised after hearing faculty opposition, eliminating 2 am.

Kenyon Men Hear GOP in Columbus

Two Kenyon students, Scott Evans and Herb Hennings, attended the School of Political Science "66," sponsored by the College of Young Republican Clubs, Saturday.

The School was held in Columbus.

Morning highlights of the event were speeches by Ohio House Speaker Charles Kurfess and State Auditor Roger Cloud. Kurfess gave the keynote address, touching on a broad range of topics and stressing the need nationally for a change in administration unencumbered by past policy.

After lunch, Congressman Robert Taft, Jr. spoke on the top issues confronting American's most pressing problems.

In his opinion, the "issue uppermost in everyone's mind" was the war in Viet Nam, must be an issue in the fall campaign. He pointed out that a Republican administration in Washington could explore new ways to its solution, unlike the Johnson Administration, weighed down by the precedents of past errors.

New Grant To Finance PACC Library

The administration has acknowledged receipt of a gift from the William Horvitz Trust designated for completion of the library seminar-study facility at the Public Affairs Conference Center.

The grant will permit further development of the much-used facility which has been constructed entirely from grants. With the gift of the Horvitz Trust the combination of grants and gifts under the umbrella of the PACC during its first five years of operation, the College of Kenyon will exceed $100,000.

Prize Rules Change For Glory-Seekers

Several changes have been instituted in academic prizes for this year.

A new poetry prize, The Propser Prize, named for M. Propper, Kenyon '01, is offered to the freshman or sophomore who submits the highest numbered group of poems. Poems previously published, as well as undergraduate reviews, or poems which have received similar awards, are not eligible.

Deadline for this prize is March 26. Entries for this prize and all other student competitions should contact Robert Daniel, chairman of the English department on third floor of Asenation.

The John Crews Benson poetry prize will alternate bi-annually with the current Robert Frost prize for the best work of senior and under the same conditions as the Prosper prize.

Debaters Take 2nd In State Tourney

A foursome of Kenyon debaters posted a 9-3 record for second place in the Ohio championship at Capital University last weekend.

Ken Moore, Richard Becher, Kim Byham and Jeff Butz competed in the event against the best teams in the state. Their record equaled that of winner Ohio State, but they lost 3-27.

Moore, Byham, debating negative, posted a 5-1 record, with alternative team Byham and Butz in third place in a nation tournament defeated teams from Davenport, Oberlin, Kent State, Ohio University, Otherkirch, Bowling Green, Youngstown, Ohio State and Miami in the two day tournament.

Next weekend, Byham and Butz will participate in the Ohio state tournament.

Vietnam Talk Sunday

A lecture by Ruth Kraemer entitles: "What do the Vietnamese Think" will be held Sunday, 1:30 p.m. in the Chapel.

A reception will follow in the Chapel basement.

The Old Grad

Knepper Leaves for Wesleyan

John R. Knepper, Kenyon junior administrator and director of alumni affairs since 1963, will leave Kenyon next year for a new post at Wesleyan University in Connecticut.

Knepper's job, termed Assistant to the President, will involve the handling of numerous presidential duties.

Knepper graduated from Kenyon in 1943. He returned in 1948 to work as assistant to the president of the alumni association. In 1964 he was named assistant to the vice president for development and in October 1965 alumni secretary.

Last year, Knepper became head of the Kenyon fund which raised over $100,000 from alumni sources.

The new vice president at Wesleyan is Edwin D. Elberling, the college's only alumnus in the early 1930's who returned to the campus after serving in the army.

"Dr. Elberling," Knepper said, "is a fine man with a promising career in higher education who will be missed by Kenyon people. But I feel sure that he will be equally missed by Wesleyan people and that our educational sympathies will grow stronger as we learn to know him."

Knepper returns to Kenyon at a time when the College is in the process of developing a large campaign.

"The administration has been working hard to get the word out that Kenyon is a great place to work and live and that its students are doing fine work," Knepper said.

"The College is in the process of developing a large campaign and we are looking forward to the day when the College is able to give back to Kenyon people what they have given to Kenyon and its students."

John Knepper, leaving after nine years.

Drama 202 Catches Steinbeck's Mice

Drama 202 will present "Of Mice and Men" directed by Bill Cumming tomorrow and Saturday night at 8:30 in the Hill Theatre.

Tickets are $1.75 for the general public, $0.30 for students and for season ticket subscribers.

The leads role in the production adopted from the novel, is played by Peter Allen as George and Bob Wallace as Lenny.

"This play concerns human loneliness," Cumming states, "and the need for someone to tell you what to do. Steinbeck created interesting people and through interaction, has divided what makes their lives tick. Their values are alien to us in the college situation but they act without ulterior motives." Lenny and George are simple emotional characters concerned mainly with survival and exist. Steinbeck and Kenyon are mutually dependent personalities, Cumming says, and both have a similar choice. After fleeing from a crime committed "up North," the two drift into a farm job near Doctor, California in the 1920's. All their wages are slowly accumulated funds to buy a farm. The mice, Steinbeck's symbol of the American dream, accidentally strangles the boy's son's wife and the play ends in their second flight. George meets the
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Problem of Obscenity

To the Editor:

Professor Hatch's advice to the Campus Senate's Committee on Drugs is a welcome (and necessary) article in this issue. As the newspaper of the Senate, we have been trying to come to the conclusion that, however correct or incorrect any society's degree of concern may be for obscene and drug use, every college has a right to determine its own drug policy, and its action in this matter should be left to the will of the students themselves.

Thus it is with a great deal of satisfaction that we comment on Professor Hatch's recent letter.

One of the major points of the letter that we wish to emphasize is that Hatch is not wrong in pointing out the necessity of a policy of freedom of expression for students. The right to freedom of speech is clearly enshrined in the Constitution, and any infringement of this right is simply a violation of personal liberty. We believe that Hatch is clearly correct in maintaining that the right to freedom of speech should not be curtailed by the actions of any college administration.

However, the professor's statement that "the right to freedom of speech is clearly enshrined in the Constitution," is not clear enough. The right to freedom of speech is clearly enshrined in the Constitution, but the right to freedom of speech is not absolute. The government has a right to control speech that is deemed to be disruptive or harmful to society. The government cannot, however, inhibit the right to freedom of speech by controlling the content of speech.
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Swimmers Topple Denison, O.W.U.

by Bill Howard

The pain of the close Oberlin loss was somewhat assuaged as the Lords triumphed 59-41 over Denison last Wednesday at Granville, and returned to Shadyside with a 5-0 record. Bill Dubois, who was named Outstanding Swimmer of the Meet, seemed to suggest that the Swim Team will be much closer this year than last year.

Coming to last year’s contest, the Denison meet, which was decided in the last relay, was rough for the Oberlin swimmers who placed the Denison team on the edge of their seats. Denison usually has a top relay team because of their back-stroker, Wilder, their breast-stroker, Donahue, and their butterflier, McBurney. But Hall, Kolter, Stoll, and Cowan were just a little too fast for Denison, and Oberlin won, setting a pool record of 3:48.7.

The 1000 yd. free was blow, and Oberlin got a good start as both游 teams found a hole in a pool length of 2$. This 1000 yd. final was with the same time as Denison’s 1000 free, and Judger awarded first place to the Big Red team. Bill Dubois placed 3rd in the 200 freestyle, winning it with a time of 2:05.2. Bill Dubois also won first in the 50 free with a time of 25.2.

Dunlop was ahead in the 200 yd. 1:30:15. until the free-style leg, but lost by only 1/2 second to Denison’s Reiter. It was unusual that Oberlin took third in the race, but the team led by only four points. Neil’s 200 butterfly brought Oberlin back but 11 seconds away from the winning race. of the day, Dunlop was 11 seconds behind Coach Harris’ hopes. When Fox and Parmer are gone the front line could still be formidable. Dunlop was one of the four-ridden games, with 62 penalized and seven players fouling out. General opinion seemed to be that it wasn’t all the players.

Wittenberg Tops Lord Wrestlers

by Dave Balbour

The KLAW Indoor Track team lost to the Wittenberg Tigers last Saturday at the field house in the final match of the season.

The meet, which was held at the Wittenberg field house on February 24th, 1961, was one of the more exciting meets the KLAW team has faced. The KLAW boys were in good shape, with several key wrestlers leading the team.

The KLAW team was led by Captain Jack Tegrotenheist, who also wrestled in the 100 lb. weight class. Tegrotenheist led the KLAW team to victory in the match, which was held at the Field House on the Wittenberg campus.

The match was won by the KLAW team, who scored 57 points to Wittenberg’s 34. The KLAW team was led by Tegrotenheist, who won his match in the 100 lb. weight class. Tegrotenheist’s win was the deciding factor in the match, as his victory put the KLAW team ahead of Wittenberg.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Bill McBurney, who won his match in the 150 lb. weight class. McBurney’s win helped the KLAW team to a comfortable lead, which they were able to maintain throughout the meet.

The KLAW team was also led by junior captain Tom Fox, who won his match in the 200 lb. weight class. Fox’s win was crucial in the match, as it helped the KLAW team to a significant lead over Wittenberg.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Shaffer, who won his match in the 250 lb. weight class. Shaffer’s win was key in the match, as it put the KLAW team in a commanding position.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Bill Winslow, who won his match in the 300 lb. weight class. Winslow’s win was crucial in the match, as it helped the KLAW team to a comfortable lead.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Smith, who won his match in the 350 lb. weight class. Smith’s win was crucial in the match, as it put the KLAW team in a commanding position.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Denison, who won his match in the 400 lb. weight class. Denison’s win was key in the match, as it helped the KLAW team to a significant lead over Wittenberg.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Gamble, who won his match in the 450 lb. weight class. Gamble’s win was crucial in the match, as it put the KLAW team in a commanding position.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Dunlop, who won his match in the 500 lb. weight class. Dunlop’s win was key in the match, as it helped the KLAW team to a comfortable lead.

The KLAW team was also led by senior captain Tom Llewellyn, who won his match in the 550 lb. weight class. Llewellyn’s win was crucial in the match, as it put the KLAW team in a commanding position.
Drugs

To the Editor

It is time that we take a serious look at the relationship between marijuana and the student body. As members of the Senate, we feel that it is our responsibility to bring the issue of drug use on campus to the attention of the student body.

Marijuana is a drug that is commonly used on this campus. In fact, it is one of the most commonly used drugs on this campus. However, the use of marijuana is not without its drawbacks.

Marijuana can impair judgment and coordination, leading to a decreased ability to make intelligent decisions. It can also lead to addiction and dependency on the drug. In addition, marijuana use can lead to a variety of other problems, including impaired learning and poor performance in school.

However, there are some who argue that marijuana use is not a problem on this campus. They argue that marijuana is not as powerful as other drugs and that it is not as addictive. While these arguments may have some merit, they do not take into account the long-term effects of marijuana use.

Marijuana use can lead to a variety of long-term problems, including lung cancer, heart disease, and cognitive impairment. It can also lead to a variety of other problems, including addiction, depression, and suicide.

We believe that it is important to bring the issue of drug use on campus to the attention of the student body. By doing so, we can help to ensure that students are aware of the risks associated with marijuana use and that they can make informed decisions about their drug use.

We urge the student body to consider the long-term effects of marijuana use and to make informed decisions about their drug use. We also encourage the administration to take steps to address the issue of drug use on campus.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
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