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Administration Seeks Admission Increase To 1,000 Applicants

Administrative efforts are currently being directed toward a sharper revision of admissions offering policies.

Bruce Haywood, Provost of the College, has cited the figure of 800 applicants for the next year as a goal, and efforts for revision in admissions are aimed for this number.

Dave White, Assistant Director of Admissions, has called the figure "realistic." The Provost said the present admissions goal is to have two new students equally qualified for each place in the college so administrators believe one candidate over another. "But we are not just interested in numbers," the Provost said. "What we really want are students who haven't received, but students who are contributors and will stand up to the test of personnel in the admission office.

Arango to Leave Here for LSU Post

Professor R. Ramon Arango leaves the College in the spring after three years in the political science department. He will be going to Louisiana State University where he will be working with Prof. Robert Horwitz.

His political science chairman, Rob Horwitz, has high praise for the Professor Arango, and emphasizes Mr. Arango's work as assistant department chairman during his period of tructual period.

Mr. Arango served as acting chairman of the department and assisted in the academic year 1969-70. He helped the department to plan and expand the number of courses in the department and contributed new courses and seminars. He has been teaching Political Science 2132, Comparative Regimes. He studied comparative government at the University of Florida, where he earned his doctorate in political science. He was chairman of Kenyon's Non-Western Studies Committee, and made what Prof. Horwitz calls "a great contribution in developing Kenyon's non-law student counseling.

His long range plan includes work on Spain in transition, possibly under a Rockefeller grant. With his fluency in Spanish, Mr. Arango can develop contacts and have access to sources that could enable him to be the first to write a definitive study of the transition from the Franco regime.

Ex-HW Chief to Strike Westward

Abraham Ribicoff, former Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, and a member of President Kennedy, and currently U.S. Senator from Connecticut, has been named as the commencement speaker.

John H. Burt, the Bishop College...
To the Editor:

As one of the seven students prosecuted for smoking marihuana here several weeks ago, I found Mr. Corey's letter (March 6) rather interesting, since it revealed a pronounced lack of the facts on the part of the defendants (though none of us agreed with the contention of the Dean and Society as a whole that smoking pot is bad). We do have a right to admit that the Dean did discontinue a disciplinary hearing and leniency to the four of us who decided not to go to the Judicial Board, and all indications are that we would have been shown the same by the other three. In this case, it was the Judicial Board's decision against the Dean, that might be accused of vindictiveness. Of the three people who chose to take their case to the Board, one dropped out of school, one was suspended for the rest of the year as well as for all of next year, and the third was suspended for a month. This last student's sentence was then reduced to two weeks for "extenuating circumstances." What is significant is that the charges were the same against him as against the four of us, and had he been chosen by the Board to go to the Dean, he would have been suspended for only four days and not for two separate weeks. Since it is in this case the Board showed a definite difference in attitude and handed down a sentence much stronger than the Dean's, I am not sure what the Board based its case on. It was not our testimony, since we were not asked to appear. As far as "reported use of extorted confessions and breaking of confi- dence," I think Mr. Corey had better find a more accurate source of information, since his present one is faulty.

NAME: Withheld By Request

To the Editor:

Although the past few months have evidenced a growing concern over the role of the United States in Vietnam here at Ken- yon, to a very large extent this concern has tended to come largely from those opposed to our not being there. While concern about the war and American conduct in it is surely to be encouraged, the one-sided nature of our discussion about what is really an exceedingly complex and dif- ficult question can hardly be con- sidered healthy. It is not my purpose in writing this letter to argue in favor of our policy in Vietnam, though I will frankly admit that I support it, but to raise some questions of interpretation and perspective which I think bear responsible consideration.

First, it is argued that the press- sure of conflict is an outgrowth of a spontaneous uprising on the part of the Viet-Namese people in oppo- sition to a regime imposed upon the populace by a foreign power—namely the United States. The argument goes on to con- demn the words of the Eisenhowe- rian statement in Mandate for Change, that Ho Chi Minh following the war would have won any election by a majority of at least 80%, that he could do so even today, and that therefore we are guilty of frustrating the popular will of the Vietnamese people. Let us examine for a moment Ho's pop- ularity. Most authorities agree that to a very large extent Ho's appeal was based upon the part he was playing in beating the war against French and not on any knowledge of his plans for the future. Offered under the Geneva Accords the opportunity to live where they might choose, 15,000 Viet-Namese went north while almost one million migrated south. Figures agreed upon though accounted for in different ways by many diverse in their opin- ions as Robert Schaar and Ber-nard Fall. While this can hardly be construed as support for Dean, see FOURT LETTER, page 4

To the Editor:

The Accent House

The Accent House

To the Editor:

While through editorials, letters and most recently your survey, there would appear to be considerable confusion over the attitudes and work of the office of public rela- tions.

I am prompted to write because of the low score received by this office in the survey. However, I do not intend to use your valuable columns to explain or defend our actions.

Rather, I write to invite more- critical consideration of the student and, hopefully, understanding. I would like to this be corrected by your readers as an open in- vitation to personally discuss with me their frustrations, and to point out any possible ways of improv- ing the "Kenyon image" which this office may have failed.

I should be happy to talk about the public relations problems and procedures with any group or in- dividual who is truly interested.

Peter G. Edwards
Director of Public Relations

To the Editor:

One of the major violations of student power is the independence of editors. All too often students are nominated who are known only by a few. This gives the entire student body a chance to know what some of the qualifications of each person will be and the student would be able to make a more accurate choice. This allows the student editor to make officers more sought after and therefore more qualified.

The perfect case in point is freshman voting in student council. I am not the only student of the cases, these students vote for the one persons that have heard of—not for the persons who would be best qualified. Thus, the election becomes a popularity contest in many cases. They look to the little guy and are taken in by him, but is shared by several people that I, uncritically hope will be taken in this mat- ter.

Jan Enfoehm '83
After a month in the dank Ohio's track team has finally come to rest. The renaissance pro-
duced in the past two weeks against Wooster and Otterbein and the other against Ohio Wes-
yan. At Otterbein the Lords came out on top, winning the final mile relay to cap off their win over the Greyhounds and Wooster. The mile relay team of Lee Van Vort, Buck Williams, Don Mayer, and Jeff Kellerie ran a 3:33.2, barely edging out a second place finish. During three events, the long jump was high jump, and the triple jump, anyone could compete in the triple jump preliminized for Hensley when he covered 470; qualifying him for the NCAA championships. It was the second best jump recorded in Ohio Conference this year. Dave Yamauchi and Berlin Semonor also won the 440. He was second behind Williams, but their times of 3:30.6 was the best they have put up all this year. Van Vort, Semonor, and Walter Oetzky were the other participants, while Paldy and his jump, 11.5, was his best ever.

There again was Art Hensley, who carried home the honors. He won the long jump, high jump, and the triple jump.

It's altogetherymeasure that the Lords are approaching their peak for the season. With the OAC championships a week away, they have two chances for a third place finish at Oberlin looking mighty good.

Hensley Leads Track Success, Qualifies for NCAA Finals

by Ron Hocet

WATTS: An Educator

The Ohio State University La-
crosse Squad arrived Saturday at the airfield in front of Jerry Swearengin's truck at their undefeated record by yet another game. Not only did the team win but the fans were thoroughly victorious remembering the old time louse story, hustle, finesse and speed. It displayed next Saturday on the field against a certain other professional lacrosse team, this team would win it can be the Lords a first place in the league.

The afternoon was cold and drizzly, and the field was quite muddy. A rather large Kenyon crowd gathered at the weather, and they were rewarded with brilliant playing between two by a note on page 13. Mr. Smith broke the 5-0 shutout, but did win 6-3. The game was already fairly well decided.

In the second half OSU scored three goals, but facilitator Jack Seaton went into a fury with three spectacular goal efforts which all were within three inches of the cage's upper bar. The Kenyon rolling fast that the State goals would never get them. At the end of the fourth quarter Diego scored his third goal of the game on a rebound. It wasn't an easy way to lose, but a Kenyon team that attempted comeback by State as it once more hit two goals on Kenyon. But it didn't stand a chance, being put into a farther by the combination of the improbable defense play of Tom Dand and Bob Williams. Kenyon went without the Jack and glorious old Kenyon steam, which succeeding us. This was the possibility in the zero State.
Clor Letter

Continued from page 2
warrant if it is not. Nothing is gained, on either side, by assuming that the facts in Nelson v. Murray, and in Edward L. Hoffman come from the same public source for the reason already given. It is not yet self-evident that the Soviet Union is interested in the preservation of Morxism-Leninism, or that the presentujący joins the company of the West. The particular issues at stake in this litigation are probably irrelevant, or else they merit much more searching analysis than this

A word about the role of moral principles in political argument. You should not have implied that these considerations are irrele-
vant. The premises about the cold war are not only true and consistent with the logical tradition of which they are part, but that is not the worst of it. Rejection of moral truth excuses some opponents of our policy to appeal in their belief that they are the only moral moralists on their side. Rather than deny the relevance of ethical judgment, I would like to say a few words about the validity of the particular ethics which many of our defendants freely subscribe to. The Soviet Union does not permit an examination of its views, as if they had only name it in the hope that we will communicate to its people in some fashion about alternative ethical doctrines. Let us call this an appeal to "moral uniformity." The primary characteristics of universal humanism are its concern with the relations of man to mankind as a whole, its habits of cross-cultural judgment, and its insistence on the necessity of maximizing the human good. It is not humanism as the only rele-
vance of this, but one might perhaps say that you do, so that our Viet-Nam policy is immoral for that reason as well as any other.

One of your correspondents in-
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