

2007

EPV Ceramics Cassandra Bill Late Preclassic Typology and Notes 2007

Cassandra Bill

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>



Part of the Archaeological Anthropology Commons

Recommended Citation

Bill, Cassandra, "EPV Ceramics Cassandra Bill Late Preclassic Typology and Notes 2007" (2007). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 95861.
<https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/95861>

This Field Notes is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.

①

EL PARAISO PROJECT

notes

2007

②

0 EL PARAISO - 05/14/08 - Below Super Flow.

- = Move like El Catedral re: frequency of local utilitarian waves vs. los de Capán.
- = looks like it might be relatively early as well re: a lot of Teseo group which seems to be less common in surface levels at both sites.
- = lots of lopeles; little Sucho.

0 EL PARAISO - 06/13/43, 45, 46 - Above Sucho Flow behind Str. 9

- = looks like surface elsewhere a EP.
- ie: lots of Casaca, Pearl, etc.; little Catedral; but also no polyps and only a very little possible Sucho Flamm.
- Why = very late - ie: after polychromes ↓ @ Capán.
- or - not - why just = ventrillard vs. elsewhere. Except I think Tull general area is where a lot of lopeles came out in previous years.

③

E1 Paraiso - 06/13/64 - Bth) Stucco Floor and emperado behind Structure 9.

③ much more Cofete Group than above stucco floor - more Ziro (55 sherds) than local [41 sherds] but very close. Pretty much has to be chronological difference. Cofetes + some Suro in this lot as well. Compare above + below stucco floor to already analyzed lots at EP.

O E1 Paraiso - other (higher level) lots in E1's S, U, X

= Similar to 06/13/43, 45, 46 right above the stucco floor - people contact exclusively of more coarse Teotihuacan = Cofete.
= Fair → no pottery / finds - mostly Cofete with a lot of sherds except for ...

Presumably = end of occupation + the Cofete w/ few finds.

E1 Cofete

- 08.02/77 = Widdon(?) below ④
tumble in the backside of Str. 6 (E1 level)

- 08.02/77/03: A lot (relatively-speaking) of Incised (Fitztype?) jar sherds. (All seem to belong to the La Pena Type / Teotihuacan Group. Includes 1 body w/ design w/ a white wash over it.
④ 1 body w/ slash punctations (aka LC Cofetoid). ④ 1 w/ Zoned slash punctation (aka Cofetoid). Perhaps so much of this lot?? ④ 1 w/ applique fillet? Incising.

08.02/77/04 = Same area but higher

= a lot of incised as well ④ a lot of caliche ④ a lot of Lizardo Vitis = mixed fill and occupation debris = likely what's going on w/ lot 03 to used = can't really use these to fix about the ratio of Cofete / Cofete ceramic sherds w/ one of str. 6. Did get a nice sample of incised, 2nd no.

⑥

⑤

06/13/55-ELL. Y - E1 Paraiso.

- above Street from behind 87-9
= run from Picobello Modelled -
Conced cylinders .

7

8

LCY CLASSIC: 711 from str. 04 = 02/73

(see also sub. ops 02/02,
* 02/05, 02/10, * 02/161
from previous years).

2/73/22 = Jicakungo } virtually
= challenge } "uniform" to
two members

That I am calling
Early Classic.

Narragitts (type)

+ also includes some Capital Tradition
which may be more common now

near later
+ a lot of Tesoro Tradition -> seems

to be the dominant utilitarian
component - incl. some LPe types
-> (Bourgeois + Playone) as well as

LC Tesoro + possibly "unique"

* "First Paked Tesoro" - Need to
work all this Tesoro stuff out
especially!

- Also possibly a new EZ util. type w/ a (10)
fairly compact, but heavily included, red-
brown parts w/ qualities of both Tesoro
and Capital. Unshipped surfaces, some
brushed.

- Also possibly a plain brushed (as type
w/ a fine ^{compact} textured - black / grey" parts
w/ volcanic inclusions as well as
colored "rocks" (eg. red, ex. like Capital +
Tesoro). (Kind of resembles the patchy Group
paths from Copan...)

Need to work out more refined /

definitive type descriptions for the
LPe and LC to see where this EZ
demonstrates fits. So, for now, with
just count / ratio relative frequencies

of: Jicakungo | Narragitts

Challenge

Igaloo

Capital Tradition

Tesoro Tradition

⑪ And then go back and count EZ lots
lots.

Lot 02/73/22

(E11's C + D)

⑫

① "Jicoutupo" = Body: 5 + 5 + 7 + 7 + 1


Rings: 1 + 6

Appetized body: 1

② Chulanga = Body: 21

Rings: 3 x hemi bowls
: 1 x Cantano

③ Tzaleo = Rings (CP, CS) = 2

 (RP, Ext. Thick/rd) = 3

BB from kind of vessel = 1.

Body: 2 x RP

5 x CP - 1 w/ facing

Cajete Tradition =

Body: 19 + 20 + 11

C: Catani: 0: Orquidea

JA Rings: 6 "C"

P: Payona

Mamajitos = Body = 12

Tat rings = 0

Bowl rings = 3 + 1

800 Tradition: Body: 12" Gⁿ; 7" Pⁿ,
10" Tⁿ; 40" Fⁿ,
1 Unshipped) and
Cⁿ 2 Jar vms = 3" Gⁿ; 1" Fⁿ
Bowl vms = 1" Pⁿ, 1" Gⁿ,
1" Fⁿ = 1" Fⁿ
miscellaneous

800 Tradition:
(Lead. Shipped)

Body: 7" LaPitⁿ Jar
3x v bowls
Jar vms = 9x Portland Jar
2x v bowls
Bowl vms = 2x v bowls

800 Tradition: Jar? = 7 body.

800 Tradition: = 3 Jar vms (burnished or
unshipped)
= 3 red Jar body
= 5 body

800 Tradition: = 92 body

TYPE DESCRIPTIONS
 Late Preclassic
 Assemblage

Cajon Tradition

(16)

- Orquidea Group (Late Preclassic)

o Subgroup: Orquidea (white-red paste)

Types: Orquidea unshaped

Paqueta Red

Betania Incised

o Subgroup: Landa (blackish paste)

Types: Landa unshaped

Jubaco Red

Junta Incised.

o Also includes Janda forms on Landa (occasionally Orquidea) paste.

① Subgroup Orquidua + Linota

Paste: Shards w/ eroded surfaces (2 surfaces seem to erode early!) have a very sandy, gritty feel/texture.

Inclusions: Quartz sand w/ occasional ^{minerals} + ^{smaller mica + pos. fangs} inclusions of ~~crushed~~ crushed pumice. Particles quartz & red. Grey, white, mottled pebbles.

Matrix: Most = subrounded or subangular but a few = more rounded and angular.

Size: = Most common = 4. ^{occasional 5 and occasional up to 6} w/ some inclusions up to 6.

Common ~ size

Sorting: Most = fair \rightarrow good but some (w/ very large and) = 2 (poor).

Density: ~~20%~~ = 20% - 30%

on some shards - (3: either 4/3 or 3/4

Color of Paste: Orquidua

(18)

5YR 4/6 = 1111111

5YR 5/6 = 111111111

2.5YR 4/6 = 1111111

2.5YR 5/6 = 1111

Color: Typical = Thic black w/ sharp margins

: Occasional fully oxidized/clear.

Color of Paste: Linota

7.5YR 6/6 = 11111

7.5YR 5/6 = 1111111

7.5YR 5/8 = 1111

10YR 5/4 = 11111

7.5YR 4/6 = 11111

10YR 4/4 = 1111

7.5YR 4/4 = 11

7.5YR 6/4 = 1

7.5YR 5/4 = 1

Typical color: = diffuse with light grey


are occasional ^{exp-on} + some black / sharp covered + occasional clear . shards

① Subgroup Orquideas: Type

① Orquideas chohiped.

- Form: (A) JAS is long sharply everted
(^{at an oblique angle} ~~directly~~) direct or slightly tapered
rim and rounded lip ($n=1$). A scar
along one part of the lip - probably had a
lip-to-shoulder strap handle.
Lun D = 25 cm; Lun Th = 0.5-0.7 cm;
wall thickness @ neck break = 0.55 cm;
Rim length = 4 cm; length of handle scar
width being lip = 2.5 cm =
handle width probably.

09/09/05



Surface = very smooth I + E and compact
surface = probably originally burnished

Well preserved areas have a soft
luster


② SDN = SYE 4/4 (I); SYE 4/6 (E); some
fine chondria on outside

(B) = ^{Jans} Venele is shortened, more ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁾

gradually everted rims; direct or a
squared lip. possible attachment
scars on lips of both e.g.s = probably also
hand lip-to-shoulder strap handle.

Lun D = 15 cm (other: too small to
measure); Lun Th = 0.6-0.6 cm; 0.5 cm;
Lun length = 1.5 cm (both); wall thickness
@ neck break = 0.55 cm (both).

09/05/16




03/11/15

surface: Both = highly everted but both
surface I + E very even. May have
been burnished or very well smoothed.
- surface color: N/D - i.e. both surface =
same color as patina core.

(21) (C) = Jar w/ obliquely overhanging

neck/rim w/ a slightly rounded upper surface on the rim; rounded lip. Lip-to-shoulder step handle (see scar on the lip of one vessel). (n=2)



03/09/26
09/19/30

Rim D = 18 cm, 17 cm
Rim Th = 0.45, 0.55
wall Th = 0.4, 0.3
Rim Length = 1.8, 1.6 cm

Surface: Eroded but seems to have been well-smoothed (fine, horizontal smoothing striations visible in E + I. (Poss. a prelim. finish before burnishing?). Surface I + E = very even (ie: no undulations)

Color = 5YR 4/4, 7.5YR 5/4
5YR 4/2, 7.5YR 4/3

Jar body / appendages:

02/39/30

Ø1 x Step handle fragment = 4.3 cm wide 1.1 cm thick

Ø3 body w/ ^{unpreserved} ~~preserved~~ approximated filets. (Too eroded to see if also incised above the filets).

- Width of filets = 0.55, 0.6, 0.85
- Height = 0.25, 0.3, 0.2 cm

- Punctations / ^{normal} unpreserved = ~~preserved~~
2 appear to be fingernail slashes;
1 " " broader, shallower,
circular unpreserved.

03/11/15, 03/11/19, 03/11/16

(22) Flaring rolled bowl w/ wedged rim

03/09/26





Rim D = 20-32 cm?
Rim Th = 0.9 cm
wall Th = 0.45 cm

Very ~~smooth~~ smooth and very even surface

both I + E = probably burnished. Black I + E (and evenly so) w/ possible

traces of dark red slip along the upper rim (7.5YR 4/4) = kanaka

- (23) (D) Borehole \rightarrow tectonics w/ a narrow shallow groove below the rim on the exterior. ($n=2$)



$R_{\text{rim}} D = 0.4 \cdot 30 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{\text{rim}} D = 1.0 \text{ cm}$
 wall $T = 0.5 \text{ cm}$

$R_{\text{rim}} D = 0.4 \cdot 30 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{\text{rim}} D = 0.9 \text{ cm}$
 wall $T = 0.5 \text{ cm}$

Surface: $b =$ completely eroded $I + E =$
 IND.

$A =$ Ext eroded below rim;
 but rim + Int. very smooth and also =
 prob. brownish. $\text{color} = 5YR 6/4 \rightarrow 5YR 5/4$.

Surface colors better preserved in bodies:
 $5YR 6/4/3 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $5YR 5/4 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $5YR 4/2 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $5YR 4/4 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $7YR 5/3 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $7YR 5/2 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $5YR 4/1 = 1^{\text{st}} I$
 $7YR 5/4 = 1^{\text{st}} I$

- (F) Scored concave lid. († Part 9
 a pedicel base it seems).

(24)

① Large body shed from an almost flat (but very slightly concave) scored concave lid. The surface is completely eroded but incised lines are clear and 0.1-0.2 cm deep in places.






- wall $T = 1.0 - 1.2 \text{ cm}$

4 pieces from a possible dome-shaped base \rightarrow bowl has been re: has rounded the walls are

(E) Round. Sided body w/ vertical \rightarrow

Slightly incurving upper walls and rounded lower walls. Rim is a flattened lip w/ the exception of one vent which appears to have a shallow groove along the center the upper surface of the lip.

09/05/26
09/05/23



A. $R_{min} D = 34 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} Th = 1.45 \text{ cm}$

$W_{min} Th = 0.55 \text{ cm}$

C. $R_{min} D = \text{ca. } 20 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} Th = 1.2 \text{ cm}$

$W_{min} Th = 0.6 \text{ cm}$

B. $R_{min} D = 28 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} Th = 1.0 \text{ cm}$

$W_{min} Th = 0.4 \text{ cm}$

D. $R_{min} D = 30 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} D = 1.3 \text{ cm}$

$W_{min} Th = 0.5 \text{ cm}$

Surfaces completely eroded except for a few "chips" of SYE 4/13/21 + 200 4/11/21 + 200 4/11/21

General notes:

o Lots of small white inclusions give eroded surfaces a speckled appearance
Preserved surfaces have a speckled appearance as well that includes other colored (eg. red) particles as well (not really visible against the generally red-brown proto in eroded surfaces).

o Two proto colors in Orquithes Group - it is this red color (red-brown) that occurs in the late clump of the Orquithes Group.
Sub group.

(a) Possible coned

see also within of fig 27



o/ rounded lip 03/11/09

$R_{min} D = \text{ca. } 30 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} Th = 1.0 \text{ cm}$

$W_{min} Th = 0.75 \text{ cm}$

Surfaces eroded but well-computed - Pleuro and velum very smooth when well. Proto very coarse. Surface color = SYE 5/16 - I the.

27 Raquette Red.

- Red-shipped for body ($n=2$)
- + Possible Raquette Red head (see page 22).
- but ship a better red.
- body shades = 0.5 cm, 0.7 cm (09/03/34)
(09/03/34)
- Ext. surface has traces of a red-orange
slip (2.5 yr 5/6) and is ^{very} smooth + even
(prob. mfg. burnished or polished).
- int. surface unshipped but also
very smooth and compacted - prob. well-
smoothed or burnished.
- color = 5 yr 5/6, 5 yr 4/4

Betancik Incised (can't find any)

examples in this sample...

= Late!

Other comd
vum
03/09/08




Rim D = 36 cm
Rim Th = 1.05
wall Th = 0.55

28 TYPE: Linds Unshipped


(A) Form: (A) Jars w/ sharply everted rims,
direct, lips are rounded ~~at~~ or softly
squared. I had an I-S/E-E lip. ($n=8$)



Rim D = 23 cm
Rim Th = 1.05 cm
wall Th = 0.5 cm
Rim Length = 5.1 cm



Rim D = 20 cm
Rim Th = 0.8
wall v = 0.4
Rim L = 2.5



Rim D = ca. 16 cm
Rim Th = 0.85
wall Th = 0.55
Rim L = 1.7

ed lip *depression*

03/11/15



(d)

02/39/31



$R_{min} D = \approx 20 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} R_n = 0.75$
 $wall R_n = 0.35$
 $R_{min} L = 2.75$

$R_{min} D = \approx 24 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} R_n = 0.6$
 $wall R_n = 0.4$
 $R_{min} L = 2.65$

① 2 = too small to measure

03/11/02?

03/11/49

03/11/20, 03/11/39

② Handle starts on the lips of 2 vms

1 large handle - all probably had

lip - to shoulder step handle.

- Handles = ~~2.8~~ 2.8 cm ^{width} \times 0.75 cm R_n ;

2.15 m \times 0.65 m

3.75 m \times 1.0 m \times

7.35 cm long

may be not
 lip - smooth - may be
 be wide - smooth?

Surface:

- well-preserved surfaces are very smooth and even; well-compacted and were probably burnished or even polished (i.e. no visible havelles).

Surface colors = 75YR 5/3 :

- Gray = 75YR 4/0 =

- Gray = 5YR 4/1 =

75YR 5/12

75YR 6/4

10YR 5/3

75YR 6/3

75YR 5/4

10YR 6/4

75YR 5/6 =

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

E E E E E

① body sheet w/ dr unperked

applied fillet 0.3 cm tall; 0.7 cm wide. narrow sheet (fingered)

punctations. Sheet too small to see if also incised above fillet.

B) Cantharos

(n=2 : 03/09/118,
03/11/29
~~03/11/122~~)

possible vessel of this form is *Oryzias*
inspired as well) Direct rim, rounded
lip.



Rim D = ca. 26 cm
Rim Th = 0.8
Wall Th = 0.55




Rim D = 14 cm
Rim Th = 0.6
Wall Th = 0.5


surface : same as Jais (A).

(C) bowls → *Tecometes* (3) & a narrow (32)

shallow groove below the rim on the exterior
03/09/08, with 03/11/24 ; 1 w/out =
03/09/? ; [03/08/22]. (n=4)




Rim D = 30 cm
Rim Th = 1.4 cm
Wall Th = 0.75 cm
(w/out groove)



Rim D = 24 cm
Rim Th = 0.95
Wall Th = 0.55

03/08/22




Rim D = ca. 26 cm
Rim Th = 0.95
Wall Th = 0.5

03/11/24

Rim D = ca. 30 cm +

Rim Th = 0.5
Wall Th = 0.6




03/09/08

Surface: Int. and ext. surfaces very smooth and even (but largely unworked) = orig. burnished or polished.

Colors:
 FTYE 6/6 = $\begin{matrix} E \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \end{matrix}$
 FTYE 5/3 = $\begin{matrix} I \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \end{matrix}$
 FTYE 6/3 = $\begin{matrix} I \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \end{matrix}$

Decorations

Step-should handle - or - was engraved.
 Direct rim, rounded or squared lip.
 n = 2 : 03/09/12; 03/09/10).



lin D = 16 cm
 lin Th = 0.65
 wall Th = 0.65
 lin D = too small to measure
 lin Th = 0.65
 wall Th = 0.4

Surface:

03/09/10 = completely eroded I + E

But : 03/09/12 = has a well-

preserved surface in most places

That is very smooth and even in both the I + E. Faint fine

horizontal smoothing lines ('h-s') visible in places in I + E (surface matter)

suggesting that vessel was well-smoothed vs. burnished & polished (seem for etal.?)...
 along the top of the vessel lip

Color = FTYE 5/3 I
 5/2 E

(E) Modelled Ceraids? (n = 4 badly shreds

09/03/40 x2; 03/09/08; 09/02/07).


= Modelled / applied elements (none identifiable but 1 seems geometric) on three modelled sherds. (wall Th = 0.8 cm, 0.9 cm, 1.05 cm; 0.85 cm) - Elements varied

(35) 0.6 cm, 0.75 cm, 0.65 cm + 0.35 cm above used. Completely unnoted = IND re: surface color but at even surfaces seem relatively smooth and even = lightly → well-smoothed. Both, it stems, for the exterior.


✓ Steeple

JUANITAS INCISED

(36) - Thin-necked jaws w/ wedged rim and rounded tip. (n = 2 rims [1 = 3 pcs] both from 03/09/18; 1 body shard from 03/09/16).



Rim D = 32 cm
Rim Th = 1.2 cm
width Th = 0.5 cm



Rim D = ca. 30 cm
Rim Th = 1.0
width Th = 0.6

Body shard used Th = 0.55 cm


③7 Surface:

Int. + ext. surfaces appear to have been "self-sharpened" (or not) and well-bruished or polished. No visible huetles altho a faint faint "h-s" lines (or "too much" lines???) tap on the underside of the rim. Surfaces are very smooth and even and have a subtle like feel and depth.

Colors =

Trace of possible orange slip (color =) on the neck of one rim shard + some kind of possible black "deposit" on another (paint???)

Both rim shards appear to have the same "faint" design - i.e. ③9




Incised lines are ca. 0.1 cm wide and 0.4 or less cm deep.

Body shard has what appears to be a somewhat broader (ca. 0.2 cm) very shallow groove as well as an incised line (same as above). No distinguish design. Possible ^{dark} red slip (also unknown) in the incised line, but mostly not clear.

④ Tubero ped

Need - Shipped PAs and bristles ⑤
 possible painted PAs (\rightarrow head variety or more brown type, if so).
 O Jar bristles = 12 (09/03/39 = 4441111) 09/02/06;
 02/11/10, 09/05/02)
 O 1 Pa rim (\sim 2 pcs: 03/11/07)
 \rightarrow ^{sharper} riveted neck/rim that is slightly textured at the lip end and has a ^{softly} squeezed lip.



Lim D = 12 cm

Lim L = 3.35

Lim Th = 0.9

Wall Th = 0.65 : Other body wall Th =
 0.55, 0.75, 0.65, 0.65, 0.8, 0.7, 0.7, 0.55,
 0.55, 0.55

④ Surface: External surface of PAs ④

covered w/ a dark red slip (color:

10E4/6 = 1 : 10E4/4 = 44411

10E4/3 = 11) and well-brushed

or polished. Surface is very smooth and even and where the slip is still well-preserved it has a Φ sticky, almost glossy feel. and a soft luster.

The interior surfaces is pretty much eroded but very even and compacted = probably well smoothed (or burnished).

Unshipped surface color = 75YR 6/6 = 1

75YR 7/4 = 1 75YR 6/4 = 44411

75YR 5/4 = 11 75YR 5/6 = 1

Gray (dark) = 1

bristles = 2 body sheets (03/11/39, 03/10/10)

from round-sided bristles. wall Th = 0.7, 0.6 cm

- Ext. surface = well smoothed/polished; ^{well-slip?} very even; color = 75YR 6/6; gray

- Int. similar very smooth/even = polished and has more of a dark red slip (color = 10E4/6, 10E4/4)


(42) 3 body sheets from JAS that appear to have ^{red} painted designs on the exterior. (Osburn, 09/03/39, 09/03/39).

width = 0.75 cm, 0.65 cm (but see note + surface probably = same vessel).



- Red paint / ship = 10x 4/4, 10x 4/6. Ext surface b/w painted areas = 7x 4/2 2x 4/4.

(Other surface charts as described for solid red-shipped JAS).

Pos. decoration (see photos) ^{w/} sharp lines vs. smoothed / avoided. ~~from~~ ship



(43) 9 rim sheets on Linds plate and 2 rim sheets on O'quiddees Plate that come from vessels w/ decoration forms - e.g.



will be described in a later section of "Local Fine wares" along w/ The Gueyghal Group vessels that have the same traits.

(+ 2 body sheets possibly from near same kinds of vessels).

(44) See page 56 for more recommendations / sources below the rim - tagged as "Middle Precolonial" and may be along w/ those on page 32 and possibly 23.

(43) NARANJITOS TRADITION
CAGNATO GROUP

Type: Cagnato Red.

③ A local fine ware type. made as a paste that continues into the LC in the Naranjitos Red Type which includes PA as well as fine ware bowls, some of which were probably pyrochromes → the only potentially local fine ware in the LC (the tradition is also represented in the EC by small brown bowls + possibly jars).

④ Preclassic forms of bowls = These found in contemporary Tzucuc Venado as well as some Tecla Red form El Salvador (and possibly Copan - see Vidal's recent Chobi and celic's ceramic material - got to have a look at this!).

(44) PASTE: Naranjitos Tradition paste = medium - ~~fast~~ compact paste, relatively hard

Inclusions: crushed white pebbles that probably: buff. Laying ended surfaces → speckled white appearance; subangular → subrounded; 4 + 5 in size; density = 150%.

⑤ Small (3, some 4) particles of quartz sand - mostly coarse pebbles (red, white, grey, black) w/ occasional quartz crystals, (rounded → subangular).

Overall density = 20% - 25%
✓ sorting: ~~subangular~~ 3 (fair)

Color = 7.5 YR 6/6 = LHH 1111
7.5 YR 7/4 = 1
7.5 YR 5/8 = 1

- Coring = light grey diffuse = ~~1111~~ 1111
Clear = 1111
Light grey sharp = 11

#5 Surface Treatment All skeletal surfaces

are eroded to one extent or another (some heavily), but the I + E both very even (and smooth where preserved) and probably originally polished. Both int. + ext. covered w/ a red slip. L. color = 10R 4/6, weathering to 10R 4/8. Sample includes solid rubbin or carved feet that also have taken the same red slip on the surface. Unaltered/eroded surface color =

75YR 6/6 = 11H

7/6 = 1

7/4 = 11

6/8 = 1


6/4 = 1

Forms:


(A) "Common Runis" (n=8)



Runis D = 28 cm
Runis Th = 1.25 cm
Wall Th = 0.7 cm
02/17/05



Runis D = ca. 38 cm?
Runis Th = 1.2 cm
Wall Th = 0.6 cm
02/17/05



Runis D = 30 cm
Runis Th = 0.7
Wall Th = 0.55
03/11/17



Runis D = 42-42 cm?
Runis Th = 1.0 cm
Wall Th = 0.6 cm
09/02/05

(46)

④5 Surface Treatment All slabs & surfaces

are erected to one extent or another (some heavily), but the I + E both very even (kind smooth. where preserved) and probably originally painted. Both int. + ext. covered w/ a red slip. Color = 10R 4/6, varying to 10R 4/8. Sample includes solid rubbin or carved feet that also have taken the same red slip on the surface. Unaltered / eroded surface color =

75YR 6/6 = 11H

7/6 = 1

7/4 = 11

6/8 = 1

6/4 = 1

Form:


(A) "Common form" (n=8)



Rim D = 28 cm
Rim Th = 1.25 cm

Wall Th = 0.7 cm

02/17/05



Rim D = ca. 38 cm?

Rim Th = 1.2 cm

Wall Th = 0.6 cm

02/17/05



Rim D = 30 cm

Rim Th = 0.7

Wall Th = 0.55

03/11/17



Rim D = ca. 42 cm?


Rim Th = 1.0 cm

Wall Th = 0.6 cm

09/02/05

④6

(47)




09/02/01



Rm D = 32 cm
Rm Th = 0.8 cm
width = 0.3 cm
02/13/04

(C) Composite Silhouette Body (n=4) (48)



03/11/01 or 04

Rm D = 2.4 cm

Rm Th = 0.65 cm

width = 0.3 cm

03/11/04



Rm D = 27 cm
Rm Th = 0.65 cm
width = 0.65 cm
1.0 cm

(B) Smooth (in-surface) int. / rounded, boosted exterior (ro. vrm). (n=2)

02/17/05

Rm D = 22 cm

Rm Th = 0.9 cm

width = 0.45 cm




Rm D = ND (Too small)

Rm Th = 1.1 cm

width = 0.75 cm

02/14/04



Rm D = 18 cm?

Rm Th = 1.7


width = 0.45

02/14/03

Rm D = 1.0

Rm Th = 0.9

width = 0.3



03/11/21



02/11/69

Lmin D = 3.2 cm

Lmin Th = 1.35 cm

Lmin Th = 0.4 cm

④ 2 Solid rubber / conical supports




02/14/5/3

Ht = 1.7 cm

Base Th = 0.4 cm

Th base = 2.5 cm
 →



09/05/04?

Ht ~ 3.0 cm

Base Th ~ 1.1 D

Th base ~ 3.65 cm

NEANJITOS TRADITION

CATUNATO Group

(N = 4thrdm, 1' rim)

Possible red-shaded jars (Pachicid

lid?). Pot = same as above;

surface = traces on the exterior of
 jar bodies; very smooth and even;
 probably polished. Pot = well-
 smoothed and relatively even.

Slip color = 10R 4/6 → 10R 4/8.

Chasing - color = 7.5YR 6/4 = 111

6/6 = 11

- 1 possible rim shard, but no slip
 apparent.



Lmin D = 1.6 cm

Lmin Th = 0.8 cm

Lmin Th = 0.65 cm

02/13/05? ~ 03?

(51)

- Also possible knobs shipped red and polished on int. and unshipped (may be = smooth and shiny self shipped, burnished on the ext.)
- Norma (n = 5 today) 09/03/15 x3
09/05/06, 03/11/28
- Proto is similar to bit somewhat coarser than Cagnato red = ^{red} ~~larger~~ more ~~large~~ (5) inclusions → smother, more friable.
- but may just be Cagnato ...
- Slip color = 10R 4/6 → 10R 4/8
- Surface low: 7R 4E 6/4, 7/4, 5/4, 5/3, 5/3
- ~~these~~ would Th = 0.5T → 0.65 cm

Notes: Presence of whitish vein vessels (i.e. JAS) w/ fine wire knob minor same pattern as Late clams? Akrenjita Group.

(52)

ATLANTIDS TREATMENT STANDARD GROUP

- Possible Unshipped vessels (= Huithi

Unshipped) - JAS.



o Exterior is very smooth and even: probably well-burnished. Int even but eroded and (originally well-smoothed) - ^{smooth to bit} Proto = ~~coarser~~ than Cagnato red. More large inclusions. (like possible Patrisio knobs).

Surface = 7R 4E 5/3 (ext)
7R 4E 6/4 (int).

n = 20 body sheds all from same lot (09/03/15) = same vessel, probably because some have clearly broken.
would Th = 0.5T → 0.9 cm

(53) NEANTIDS TRADITION
CAGNATO GROUP.

- ② Possible Incised Tail Type (used type name). (N = 2 body; ^{03/11/04} ^{13/05/02} I (un) Excised)
- Prot a bit yellow. There is
typical (HYPER/6) in some cases like
the uni shell; body = HYPER/4.
- but both workable fragments and parts.
- ③ Body starts excited I + E but can
see shallow parallel grooves (ca. 2mm
wide and 2mm apart and maybe
wider. 1 to 1mm deep).
- ④ Skin shell = well smoothed on
extern, unsmoothed. Int = red ship
along inside of uni ca. 2.5cm
from tip. (Color = 10L 4/8 → 4/6).
Below smoothed uni, surface is very
smooth and even = well turned shell
or polished / very well smoothed.



From Excised = probably
later - Proboscis - given
membrane at the site.

- ⑤③ Cardone Red - Tero Tradition /
 Cavaughn Group. Possibly incl. some
 Igaleo forms like Cavaughn Red. =
 (Red-shipped Igaleo of Tero Tradition)
 - Later - incl. west of Cavaughn
 Group.

Possible Middle Pleistocene
 facies incl. very interesting upper
 works and good lines below the
 vein (see also page 32).

ext. banded
 o Cavaughn subgroup
 - Linn D = 22 cm
 - Linn Th = 0.8 cm
 - Linn M = 0.45 cm

03/09/26
 very brown surface = 7425/3
 7425/2 = ext.

ext. banded
 ~ Tero Tradition
 - Oquirrhia & subgroup
 - Linn D = 29 30 cm
 - Linn Th = 1.4 cm
 - Linn M = 0.9 cm

03/09/13 • Su/bu = 5425/6
 Ext = black (shipped 222) same as
 int. too.

ext. banded
 - Tero / "Cavaughn" - i.e. Fine
 - Potted - Pota
 - Linn D = too small to measure

Int = 5425/14, ext = 5425/13
 03/09/18 - Linn Th = 84 0.85 cm
 - Linn M = 0.17 cm

02/28/03



Rim D = ca. 20 cm or 1
 Rim Th = 0.7 cm
 Width = 0.4 cm



- Red Slip (1024/6) on
 ext. of lip.

- Increasing below holstered
 van.

- Photo = date on Organizas
 subgroup (same broods).
 Surface color = 7/15/5/14



- Possible Organizas subgroup
 Photo or maybe Pilegna.

- Rim D = 30 cm
 - Rim Th = 1.0 cm
 - Width = 0.5 cm

02/39/04

- Surface color =
 dark grey I + E.

Photoblog all Tamaro Prod. Photos - complete list...

59 IZALCO USULTHAN (at least it

would seem so per Paste and form but
no vest decoration visible on sherds
ble surfaces all eroded to one degree or
another (some have possible red slip:
^{unmistakable red})

Paste: Check in to this more next.

Yes, but there appears to be the two
paste variants from Copan - "Cream
Paste" + "Red Paste" (brilliant break
downs of these pastes - re: texture
variants next year). ^{clay} texture =
Cream (100% on existing forms).

Surface: All = eroded to some
extent but generally smooth and
even: originally polished / well-
burnished.

Color (Surface/Paste) = 10YR 7/6 = 1

7.5YR 6/6 = 4H 11

10YR 7/3 = 1

10YR 7/4 = 4H

10YR 6/6 = 1111

7.5YR 6/4 = 11

7.5YR 7/4 = 11

7.5YR 7/6 = 1111

10YR 8/4 = 1

7.5YR 8/4 = 1

60

5YR 6/6 = 4H

5YR 5/6 = 1

5YR 6/8 = 11

5A

5YR 7/6 = 1

5YR 5/8 = 11

2.5YR 5/8 = 1

7.5YR 6/6 = 1

~~Trace~~

Trace of slip on a few sherds - some
possibly = red slip and maybe...

Slip color: 2.5YR 6/6 = 1

2.5YR 5/6 = 1

2.5YR 5/8 = 4H

5YR 5/6 = 1

5YR 6/6 = 1

Trace of a cream slip on one sherd
= 7.5YR 8/4 = double - slip and decoration?

Possible red slip on inside of base of
rubbish foot = 10L 4/8

(b1) Forming:

(A) $n=5$
16mm bars?



02/34/08

Lim D = 30 cm

Lim Th = 0.95

Wall Th = 0.45

CP

I think this form only
has reinforcement supports -
Check on this!

03/11/25?

Lim D = 30 cm

Lim Th = 0.7

Wall Th = 0.5

CP



03/11/17


Lim D = 22 cm

Lim Th = 0.75

Wall Th = 0.3

CP

Int. break



03/11/09

Lim D = 30 cm

Lim Th = 0.65

Wall Th = 0.35

CP




03/11/17

Lim D = too small

Lim Th = 0.8

Wall Th = 0.55

CP



Int. break

03/11/27

RP


Lim D = 19 cm

Lim Th = 1.2 cm

Neck/Wall Th = ~~0.9~~

0.9 cm

(b) ~~the~~ exposed
($n=2$)



2 narrow


grooves = 1 mm wide
and 3 mm apart.

(63)

no spines visible

03/11/17

RP



Rim D = ca. 20 cm

Rim Th = 1.1

wall Th = 0.5

Both (B) vms have heads of red
 skip, it seems - 1024/8 = possibly
 red-skipped vs. mutation.

(C) EXT-bolstered. (42/11) (n=3)


02/39/13

Rim D = 34 cm

Rim Th = 1.0

wall Th = 0.4

CP



02/39/04

Rim D = 20 cm

Rim Th = 0.75

wall Th = 0.4


CP



3rd = 100 small - 03/11/25 - RP
 4th = 100 small - 03/11/25 - RP

(D) Common Rums (n=8)

(64)



CP

03/11/18

Rim D = 38 cm

Rim Th = 1.0

wall Th = 0.55


02/39/13

Rim D = ca. 32 cm

Rim Th = 1.3

wall Th = 0.55

CP




02/34/08

*

Dorsal seem right...

(15)



02/17/06

Lim D = 35 cm

Lim Th = 0.95

Wald Th = 0.9

RP



* Set bottom & legs 4" for measurement

02/34/08

Lim D = ca. 30 cm

Lim Th = 0.8

Wald Th = 0.5

CP




I

Lim D = 36 cm
 Lim Th = 1.2
 Wald Th = 0.65
 CP



I

02/32/03
 Lim D = ca. 20 cm
 Lim Th = 0.85
 Wald Th = 0.65
 RP



02/39/26

Lim D = ca. 40 cm

Lim Th = 1.1

Wald Th = 0.6

CP



02/11/10

Lim D = 38 cm


Lim Th = 1.55

Wald Th = 0.8

RP

(E) Composite Silhouette (n=13)

Thin ridge = feathered



CP

02/34/08
 Lim D = 26 cm
 Lim Th = 0.9
 Wald Th = 0.5 cm

Feathered ridge

ca. 2.5 cm long

D. cephalon

K = ridge!

raised.

Red slip on the

interior of the lower

- wall / base - 10R418

= "South Tecta Red"?




lower ridge

(67)

02/34/09


Run D = 22 cm
 Limb ~ 0.65
 width ~ 0.3

CP



03/11/18

Run D = ca. 30 cm
 Limb ~ 0.75
 width ~ 0.15



CP? But a couple of

large incisors up
 to 5 mm long that
 look like tufts.

maybe lagarto red-
 no surface re: slip
 and paste not
 gritty enough though.



02/11/10

Run D = 27 cm
 Limb ~ 1.3
 width ~ 0.35

RP - relatively gritty



02/17/15

Run D = 32 cm
 Limb ~ 1.45
 width ~ 0.9

RF

(68)

03/11/30

Run D = 36 cm
 Limb ~ 0.9
 width ~ 0.4

CP



09/03/05

Run D = 26 cm
 Limb ~ 1.8
 width ~ 0.7

CP - buried or could be a local prot

69



03/11/17

Lim D = too small
but leg, I think
re. 30 cm

Lim M = 1.35


WMLM = 0.55

RP

5 more of same form - RP.
1 w/ faceted upper lip fringe =

03/11/30

+ 03/11/25, 03/11/17 + 09/03/05




3 re. neck b/c
small.

03/11/17

EPHus/Miscellaneous forms

70



02/11/10

Lim D = 36 cm

Lim M = 0.8

WMLM = 0.6

RP



Lim D = 28 cm

Lim M = 1.55

WMLM = 0.3

CP - ish

Red-sh. pupa?

03/11/29




03/11/29? or 28

Lim D = 22 cm

Lim M = 0.65

WMLM = 0.3

+ another
CP.
03/11/30



CP

05/11/24


Lim D = 22 cm

Lim M = 0.9

WMLM = 0.2

+ another
RP.
03/11/24

#1



02/11/10

$R_{min} D = 20 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} M = 0.1$

$W_{min} M = 0.35$

CP.



02/14/135

$R_{min} D = 28 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} M = 1.05$

$W_{min} M = 0.5$

CP



02/11/10

$R_{min} D = 20.30 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} M = 1.45$

$W_{min} M = 0.45$

CP




03/11/28

$R_{min} D = 26 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} M = 1.45$

$W_{min} M = 0.4$

CP



03/19/04

$R_{min} D = 20.20 \text{ cm}$

$R_{min} M = 0.5$

$W_{min} M = 0.5$

CP

+ also possibly the same from but
Inker-walled: 02/11/10

Solid aluminum / ceramic supports

- 8 x CP
- 4 x AP

+ 2 possible nonuniform support fragments.

CP supports = 1.1 Hgh x 2.2 W; base M = 0.8

1.6 v x 2.5 ; v 0.5

1.5 x 2.45 ; v 0.5

2.0 x 2.85 ; v 0.15

1.45 x 2.4 ; v 0.4


2.1 x 3.1 ; v 1.2D

0.8 x 1.1 ; v 0.1

1.2 x 2.35 ; v 0.35

(73) pp support

2.1 cm Hsk x 3.65 cm width; base = 0.65
 2.4 H 3.1 W 0.35
 2.0 H 3.0 W 0.15
 2.4 H 2.9 0.15



Igalco forms on local proto

Guayabal camp proto

03/11/25



Rim D = 16 cm
 Rim Th = 1.3
 Wall Th = 0.45

Igalco forms on local proto:

(74)

(1) Guayabal camp proto (n = 5 rims, + 1 support, 4 heavy)

A. Cerro - n = 1 rim



03/09/08
 Rim D = 26 cm
 Rim Th = 0.9
 Wall Th = 0.75

C. ext. belated - n = 3 rims + 0.9/05/07, 03/09/10

09/03/08



Rim D = 28 cm
 Rim Th = 1.0
 Wall Th = 0.6

E. camp. sl. - n = 1 rim (02/25/12)



Rim D = 29 cm
 Rim Th = 1.5
 Wall Th = 0.7

(F5)

09/05/15



↳ flattened & with


Ht = 2.45

Th = 3.35

Base Th = 0.5

Playgona Group (Terns Tradition)

n = 1 vini shed, comp. sil., ved-
 shipped I + E. 03/11/09




Rim D = 32 cm
 Rim Th = 0.8
 wall h = 0.7

2) Orquidias Group

(F6)

Orquidias Subgroup = 2 vinas

Landa Subgroup = 1 vinas, 2 body,
 1 support

Erquidias Subgroup:

Rim D = too small to measure.

Rim Th = 0.7

wall Th = 0.4

03/11/19.



Rim D = 26 cm


Rim Th = 0.6

wall Th = 0.3


03/11/13

(77) lands Subgroup

1 common run too small to orient
or measure. 03/09/19.



$R_{min} D = 28 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 0.75$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.6$
02/39/30




$R_{min} D = 24 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 1.0$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.45$
02/20/02




$R_{min} D = 28 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 1.05$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.7$
03/09/10

2 x R_{min} - may be some vessel but (78)



$R_{min} D = 34 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 0.75$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.3$
1 - 03/09/13 }
1 - 03/11/19 }



$R_{min} D = 16 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 1.35$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.15$
02/11/10



$R_{min} D = 25 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{min} Th = 0.7$
 $W_{all} Th = 0.4$
03/09/18

(79)



09/05/21

Ht = 2.78

W = 4.2

Base H = 0.6

Probably 'charlton' - has traces of pinkish orange slip 25% or less on the interior - but form seems early - MPc - 3m ...
 Part OK for Igales but known (757573)



Lm D = 20cm

Rm H = 0.8

Wm H = 0.45

03/11/06

Some very preliminary observations:

(80)

① Seems that none of what I am calling "Igales Charlton" - based on past - comes from the site of Las Orquideas. All the fine water from that site seems to = Agneth and and "Igales forms a local (Orquideas + Guaymas) protos". But this = some kind of restricted access to potential in path? Need to check Charlton's site...

⑧1 Tefaro Tradition

Enuguabul Group

- Peats: Coarse → very coarse-textured.

Inclusions: Coarse colored sand
 pebbles (4+5, ^{and occ. up to 5mm} some 6) - very
 angular → rounded; Density =
 20% - 30%.

Some peats very coarse - all
 5 to ve; size and 80% + re;
 density. Also somewhat fine =
 mostly 3+4 w/ occ. 5 - density
 ca. 20%.

Sorting: 2 → 4
 ↓
 u. coarse peated.

fine
 peated

Color = } do more w/ these txt. variants
 } lots of peat-chrome. Signif. brown

TSYR6/6 = 1111 111

TSYR6/4 = 11

TSYR6/4 = 111

TSYR6/3 = 1

TSYR6/6 = 111

TSYR6/6 = 1

Corrug: Dist. grey diff. = 1111 1111 ⑧2


Clear = 1111 1111

Enuguabul Unaltered Type

= mostly PS, a few bands, possibly
 comets, and curves.

The Forms

(A) sharply curved w/ flat or slightly convex upper
 surface on median.



02/17/06

Lim D = 25cm
 Lim Th = 0.7
 wall Th = 0.55



03/11/08

Lim D = 25cm
 Lim Th = 0.7
 wall Th = 0.5

(84)


 $R_{min} D = 2.1 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 1.05$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.7$

 $R_{min} D = 1.8 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.85$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.6$



 $R_{min} D = 2.0 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.8$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.7$

| Other eggs of Form A | |
|----------------------|----------|
| 03/11/25 | 03/11/23 |
| 03/11/26 | 03/11/30 |
| 03/11/23 | 02/17/06 |
| 03/11/17 | 03/12/18 |
| 01/05/05 | 03/12/12 |
| 03/11/04 | 03/11/26 |
| 02/39/31 | |


 $R_{min} D = 2.1 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.8$
 $W_{min} Th = 1.1$

(B) erected sharply w/ slightly concave upper surface & weak / thin I-S or extr. v. midline.

(83)


 $R_{min} D = 1.6 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.9$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.4$

 $R_{min} D = 2.3 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.85$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.35$

 $R_{min} D = 2.0 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 1.05$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.65$

 $R_{min} D = 2.6 \text{ cm}$
 $L_{min} Th = 0.95$
 $W_{min} Th = 0.85$

(84)



$\text{Lmin } D \sim 22 \text{ cm}$
 $\text{Lmin } Th \sim 1.1$
 $\text{wall } Th \sim 0.55$

Other Form B sp =

03/11/25 03/11/??
 03/11/05
 03/11/25
 03/11/25
 03/11/33
 03/11/25



$\text{Lmin } D \sim 20 \text{ cm}$
 $\text{Lmin } Th \sim 0.7$
 $\text{wall } Th \sim 0.5$

Form C = "Cairns"

(85)



$\text{Lmin } D \sim 18 \text{ cm}$
 $\text{Lmin } Th \sim 0.6$
 $\text{wall } Th \sim 0.7$

02/39/04



$\text{Lmin } D \sim 15 \text{ cm}$
 $\text{Lmin } Th \sim 0.65$
 $\text{wall } Th \sim 0.8$


02/39/04



$\text{Lmin } D \sim 10 \text{ cm}$
 $\text{Lmin } Th \sim 0.4$
 $\text{wall } Th \sim 0.7$

02/39/04

(86)



02/11/39

Lun D: ca. 22cm

Lun Th = 0.4

Lun Dn = 0.3

3 body sheds w/ unpressed
fillet appearance

- 1 = raised 3.5 mm; 6 mm wide
w/ deep diagonal fingered slashes
09/03/23

- 2 = raised 1.5 mm; 4 mm
wide raised but looks like
vertical fingered slashes - both
03/11/05 = prob. same vessel.

Step handle x 2:

4.35 cm total x 10 cm thick (02/17/77?)

① 4.65 - x 0.95 - (02/17/77?)

x ca 11 long from attach to attach.

② lip-to-shoulder 2.75 w x 0.5 Th x 4.45
long (02/11/30)

Surface Treatment

Very well-smoothed on the int. of
the rim (a few fine h-s lines but
otherwise very smooth and even and
well-compacted. Underside / exterior
of the rim / neck a little less
even (a few undulations, some
h-s lines) but generally well-compacted
and smooth. Below the neck
break on the interior: not well-
compacted, somewhat gritty texture,
but even - probably highly hand-
smoothed. Shoulder on exterior:
very smooth and even and compacted;
slight soft luster = possibly
laminated

- Surface color =

75YR6/6 = 111

10YR6/6 = 111

75YR6/4 = 11


10YR6/4 = 1

75YR5/4 = 1

(87)

(88) Possible Boulds (no rims, a few possible badly shreds.) well smoothed \rightarrow burnished, very even on interior; lightly smoothed or rough (but even) on ext.

② Possibly a core, but more likely part of a (composite?) vessel =



Rim D = ca. 50 cm

Rim Th = 1.75

Wall Th = 0.65

09/02/08

- Additional possible cores (unrelated?) Shards

= 09/03/08, 03/11/30, 09/03/08, 09/03/04

09/03/08, 09/05/?. Very thick and thin

coarse - plotted


(89)

Wall Th = 1.75, 1.4, 1.6, 1.5, 1.2, 1.05 cm


The last shard (1.05 cm) has traces of a ventral on the interior that may be copied.

90) Porobal Red


Sharply everted; direct neck/urini-
int. sharpened tip (may be rounded or just
everted anal.)



Lim D = 23 cm
Lim Th = 0.9
Wall Th = 0.35




Lim D = 27 cm
Lim Th = 1.2
Wall Th = 0.85




Lim D = 28 cm
Lim Th = 1.1
Wall Th = 0.8

91)



Lim D = 28 cm
Lim Th = 1.2
Wall Th = 0.55




Lim D = 28 cm
Lim Th = 1.25
Wall Th = 0.95




A) Large. ($n = 5 + 11 + 10$)

Also: 02/17/48, 03/11/29, 03/11/24,
03/11/27, 03/11/25

(92) B) Smell = Same form but smaller.
($n=2$)



(C) Miscellaneous forms. ($n=3$)



3 step handles.

- 5.65 wide x 1.0 Thick (09/03/08)
- 4.45 " x 0.8 " (09/02/01)
- 3.35 " x 1.75 " (03/11/17)


Surface Treatment The exterior

neck and body + the interior of the neck & foot the neck break are covered w/ a red slip. The interior of the neck is very smooth and even; a few fine horizontal lines but probably well-burnished or polished. The exterior of the neck and shoulder = same in most cases; a few eggs have a more uneven surface w/ occasional undulations and unburnished areas but still rel. smooth and well-compacted.

Slip color = 10E 4/6 = 1 (Slip well-preserved this egg).

25YE5/8 = 111 25YE4/8 = 1
5YE6/6 = 11 25YE4/3 = 1
25YE4/6 = 1 25YE4/4 = 1

(94) Bowls



09/05/03

Rim D = 50cm
Rim Th = 1.45
Wall Th = 0.9

Possible cornel



Rim D = 100 small
Rim Th = 0.95 cm
Wall Th = 0.7

Surface treatment: Shaped red and polished / well-burnished in the interior. Well-smoothed (con. ovif. burnished) in the ext.

Delicately Incised

(95)

Thick-walled unshaped incised pts.




P.V. 03/11/08

(n = 2 rims, 3 body)



Rim D = 100 small
Rim Th = 0.6
Wall Th = 1.0, 1.25.



→ appears to be unincised - Traces of possible black paint = shaded and painted pts.

Surface color = 75YR 6/4 (int.)
10YR 7/4 (ext.)


(96)

Rim D = too small

Rim Th = 0.9

wall Th = 1.3, 1.1

03/11/18



Possible traces
of red slip
in the
int. of the
neck.
(25YR4/6, 4/8)

3x body sherds from 03/11/19
w/ traces of similar red slip on
interior (of the necks?)

Surface color = 7.5YR 4/3 (int)
10YR 7/4 (ext)

P.V. (02/17/06)

(97)



Rim D = 22 cm

Rim Th = 0.95

wall Th = 0.7


o Possible petal design.

o Surface color = gray (int)
= 5YR 5/6 (ext)

09/01/04



Rim D = too small
Rim Th = 0.75
wall Th = 0.7



Surface below =
25YR 5/6 (int)
25YR 5/6 (ext)

Surface treatment: Int = very smooth +
even; well burnished or polished. Ext =
smoothed but even. Incisions deep (ca.
1 mm) and broad (1-2 mm) etc. w/ 1-pt impulse marks.

98 CARDONA RES Boulevard

Gamitz to Porobil Red but seem
to be smaller and more finely
made = possibly a fine texture
but not really enough of it yet to
say for sure.

- Paste: = consisten. they ~~in that~~ ^{in that} inner
 further variant - re: understanding =

3.5 + 4.5 @ 15-20%

1920% - when batho provided
10R4/6 - when

Bond led slip (25 yr 5/16 \rightarrow 5/8) in + out,
burnished \rightarrow well-polished very smooth and even

$$- \text{Wahl M} = 0.5, 0.6, 0.7$$


② exerted min bond (aka some \bar{I}_2 also)? in d_{Fe} ?

03/11/27

$R_{in} D = 13 \text{ cm}$
 $R_{in} \Gamma_n = 1.0$
 $\omega_{rad} \Gamma_n = 0.45$

$$P_{in} T_n = 1.0$$

$$W_{out} T_n = 0.65$$
$$\text{usual } \eta = 0.65$$


 Robert J. Taylor
 [Signature]

Hydrolytic in D / growth along upper surface - maybe a commensal.
03/11/27. $\text{Edm}^{\text{TM}} = 0.8$, $\text{Wald} = 0.17$

05/11/27.

PLAY ON A GROUP

-Terror Tradition puts very similar to Guaymas Group but a darker red brown in color; mid. hyps some

Texture varies (the the background
caromp. posters) from very loose
($S_{ij} = 4+5$, some 6; density = 20% → 30%)
to relatively fine ($S_{ij} = 3+4$;
density = 15-20%).

066 = 542516 - 1111
IXXIII

$$5426/6 = 1111$$
$$542514 = 11$$
$$25425/6 = 1$$


Olivia

Clear = 11/11

Light grey / dk blue = 1111
Dark grey / black = 1111

(100)

03/11/30



Lim D = 24 cm
 Lim Th = 0.9
 Wall Th = 0.5




Lim D = 20 cm
 Lim Th = 0.7
 Wall Th = 0.5

02/11/10



Lim D = 20 cm
 Lim Th = 1.0
 Wall Th = ND

02/39/04



Lim D = 24 cm
 Lim Th = 0.7
 Wall Th = ND.

02/39/23




Lim D = 20 cm
 Lim Th = 0.8
 Wall Th = ND.

03/11/33



Lim D = ca. 18 cm
 Lim Th = 0.85
 Wall Th = 0.55



possibly red-shipped.
 Lim D = ca. 20 cm
 Lim Th = 0.7
 Wall Th = ND


02/33/04



Lim D = ND too small
 Lim Th = 0.95
 Wall Th = ND

squared tip

09/05/02



Lim D = ND but seems big
 Lim Th = 0.7
 Wall Th = 0.8

Bowl

02/20/04

Lim Inward

Pl



Lim D = ca. 12 cm
 Lim Th = 0.6
 Wall Th = 0.7

See page 104

(101)

(102) Surface Treatment:

② Unshipped JAS:

Int - g rect (hand down = ? because none preserved much past the bb) and exterior surface = burnished. Visible knuckles and some surface irregularities ~ some loose but badly shards ~~up~~ top: very smooth and even.

Preserved surface color = 75YE5/2=1

5YE5/6=1

75YE5/4=1

75YE6/4=1

5YE5/4=11

5YE4/6=1

75YE7/3=111

③ Unshipped body - con variation as JAS.

Interior surface: very smooth and even: well burnished → polished.

Exterior = even and well compacted; some h-s lines = well-smoothed; possibly partially burnished.

Red-Shipped (no type name yet).

(103)

JAS: ^{base} red-shipped and polished on

The exterior; smoothed on the interior. Both surfaces very even and smooth. Ship color = 10E4/4=1111

25YE4/3=1; 25YE4/4=111

(03/09/20=1111; 03/09/18=11; 02/39/23=1)

Body: Red-brown slip on int. to el-b = polished; ext = compacted + even but

unfin. textured = prob. smoothed. ^{02/44/03} 09/02/06

Ship color = 25YE4/4=1; 25YE3/3=11

Possible lenses shared?

= 2 (09/02/06 - 2 pcs)

(02/12/48 = poss. scored lid but

unlikely ...)


↳ bent over lip/edge like edge of out out sections ~ later comp. lenses = part of possible pedicel base.

104 Incised

See Bottom

9 p. 101

= same
verse!



or also to

Incised lines
are thin
and shallow =

very different from
Delicatus Incised &
the large bowl group

o P.V. 02/28/04 = 3 Sheds ④

03/11/39, 02/17/05, 02/17/02,

03/09/08, 09/05/03, 09/05/05

o 03/11/09. has 1 Thin

with worn over the incised
line

o 09/05/05 has thumb nail
impressed raised applied
"below" some incised lines.

Not enough of surface on the
other side of the flut to see
if it was incised as well.

- Interior. well-brushed > polished,
very smooth and even.

Color = 5YR 5/4 = 1/8 5YR 5/3 = 1

5YR 6/6 = 1

Gray = 11

7YR 11/6 = 1

o Exterior = well-smoothed → even
and relatively compact but somewhat
gritty texture.

Color = 7.5YR 5/3 = 1

5YR 5/4 = 11

Gray = 11
7YR 11/6 = 1

105

(106) Carbon dates 03/11 - on
computer diff. of 150-200 yrs.

300-100 BC (lower) 82150-170AD
(upper).

Lot #5 - 300-100 BC - Lot 03/11/08

Lot #5 - 150 BC - 50 AD - Lot 03/11/37

② Selkshon's meat's on Bigac I+II

② Don Cummin's Themo in Agunio

② Some ceramics.