

2004

## PVN-CAT-202-FI-001-001-MANO

Edward Mark Schortman

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>

---

### Recommended Citation

Schortman, Edward Mark, "PVN-CAT-202-FI-001-001-MANO" (2004). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 91420.  
<https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/91420>

This Catalog Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact [noltj@kenyon.edu](mailto:noltj@kenyon.edu).

PROYECTO VALLE DE CACAULAPA  
CATALOG FORM

DATE: 5/28/04

LOT NUMBER: 242 FI/441

SUBSTANCE: Stone

OBJECT: Mano

CATALOG #: 242 FI/441-1

MULTIPLES? - DRAWN BY:

CATALOGED BY: 1

EXCAVATOR:

DIAMETER (only if item is basically circular; take average):

HGT/LENGTH: 4.6cm

WIDTH: 7.2cm THICKNESS: 5cm

VERBAL DESCRIPTION:

This is a whole ~~partial~~ mano. If a partial mano, it is an ~~end~~ a midsection.

The material is: vesicular basalt ~~other~~ (describe or name) - *Fine-grained tan rock with some pitting*

In cross-section, this item is: round - oval - square ~~rectangular~~ - multi-sided. If multi-sided, how many?:

How many working surfaces/areas are visible: 1

The working surfaces/areas are: ~~smoothed only~~ - show use-related polish - can't tell.

The working surfaces/areas extend: ~~completely~~ - partially across the item as preserved.

The working surfaces measure (do each separately): 7.2cm x 3.6cm

The end-to-end shape of this mano is: equal in width throughout - ~~smaller on the end(s)~~ than in the middle. If it is larger in the center, give the dimensions or diameters:

Minimum: 5.7cm x 4cm

Maximum: 7.2 x 5cm

If an end is preserved, the end shows: ~~pecking~~ grinding - striations - a smooth surface - a polished surface - can't tell. *chips were removed from the <sup>finished</sup> end.*

The sides show (mark all that are appropriate): pecking - grinding - striations - smoothed areas - polished areas.


If the item is broken, the broken end: is sharp - is somewhat sharp, but appears eroded - has been battered or chipped. *None*

If the end seems to be battered or chipped, this seems to be the result of: accident/depositional conditions - ~~deliberate use~~ - cannot tell. *The broken end is unaltered.*

Item is: not drawn - ~~drawn~~ (where?) *Back*

Comments:

*Looks like a fortuitously used cobble with some light smoothing on one face and battering on its end - very little, if any intentional shaping rock place.*



Em...  
Sh...

Em...

