

2004

## PVN-CAT-202-FL-010-001-MANO

Edward M. Schortman  
schortma@kenyon.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>

 Part of the Archaeological Anthropology Commons

---

### Recommended Citation

Schortman, Edward M., "PVN-CAT-202-FL-010-001-MANO" (2004). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 91422.  
<https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/91422>

This Catalog Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact [noltj@kenyon.edu](mailto:noltj@kenyon.edu).

PROYECTO VALLE DE CACAULAPA  
CATALOG FORM

DATE: 6/27/04

LOT NUMBER: 202 FL/Ø 1Ø

SUBSTANCE: Stone

OBJECT: Mano / Polisher - ceramic (see)

CATALOG #: 202 FL/Ø 1Ø - 1 MULTIPLES? - DRAWN BY: 1 CATALOGED BY: 1

EXCAVATOR: DIAMETER (only if item is basically circular; take average): 3.3cm

HGT/LENGTH: 5.1cm WIDTH: THICKNESS:

VERBAL DESCRIPTION:

This is a whole partial mano. If a partial mano, it is an end-a midsection.

The material is: vesicular basalt - other (describe or name)- Hard, fine-grained stone

In cross-section, this item is: round - oval - square - rectangular - multi-sided . If multi-sided, how many?:

How many working surfaces/areas are visible: 3

The working surfaces/areas are: smoothed only - show use-related polish - can't tell.

The working surfaces/areas extend: completely - partially across the item as preserved.

The working surfaces measure (do each separately): 5.1 x 2cm; 5.1 x 1.9cm; 5.1 x 2cm

The end-to-end shape of this mano is: equal in width throughout - smaller on the end(s) than in the middle. If it is larger in the center, give the dimensions or diameters:

Minimum:

Maximum:

If an end is preserved, the end shows: pecking-grinding-striations - a smooth surface - a polished surface - can't tell.



The sides show (mark all that are appropriate): pecking - grinding - striations - smoothed areas - polished areas.

If the item is broken, the broken end: is sharp - is somewhat sharp, but appears eroded - has been battered or chipped.

If the end seems to be battered or chipped, this seems to be the result of: accident/depositional conditions - deliberate use - cannot tell.

Item is: not drawn - drawn (where?) Back

Comments: smoothed / faceted in these adjoining places



1:1