
The Kenyon Collegian

3-2-1962

Kenyon Collegian - March 2, 1962

Early editions of this publication contain language that is considered harmful or offensive. Especially in editions from the 19th century and early 20th century, you may encounter content such as inappropriate descriptions or appropriation of Native American cultures, blackface, or racial slurs. For more information, see our policy page.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.kenyon.edu/collegian>

Recommended Citation

"Kenyon Collegian - March 2, 1962" (1962). *The Kenyon Collegian*. 2167.
<https://digital.kenyon.edu/collegian/2167>

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Kenyon Collegian by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.



KENYON COLLEGIAN

A Journal of Student Opinion

Vol. LXXXVIII

Gambier, Ohio, March 2, 1962

No. 9

CIRCUS COMES TO TOWN:

HONORS DAY RAISES PRESSING QUESTIONS

At the conclusion of the comedy of errors offered to the College this year as honors day, the *Collegian* made it a point to seek out several faculty members and solicit their opinions on the fatuous and embarrassing Washington's Birthday proceedings. Had they, so apparently solemn, draped in academic regalia, been able to retain their composure, their seriousness in the face of the circus that came to town? One faculty member conceded, "I found myself in a fit of giggles." Another noted that after the first five minutes, the colleague seated next to him had escaped the grip of "the mind in the world and the world in the mind," having fallen fast asleep. President Lund is "convinced there is room for further improvement."

LOCKED OUT

Flaws were evident in the program from the outset. Though the show "carried assembly credit" (which is one man's way of saying the event was compulsory) the crowd that gathered in Rosse Hall left many chairs unfilled. The array of awards, despite the admirable addition of the Maxwell Elliot Power Prize in Biology, was still remarkably sparse and failed to properly represent all the college's disciplines. The fact that Phi-Beta-Kappa winner Harvey Lodish, praised at length by Dean of Students Frank Bailey was, at the moment of greatest honor, on the steps of Rosse Hall, accidentally locked out of the building, further underscores the President's insistence that there is room for improvement.

A SUBTLE JOKE

Prof. Ellis Rivkin was the speaker of the day. His topic: the mind in the world and the world in the mind. As we went to press debate was still continuing about the nature and intent of his speech. Some felt that Prof. Rivkin had played an exceedingly subtle joke on Kenyon College. Others failed to see the humor. Some admitted to liking the speech. Others felt that the

real Mr. Rivkin had somehow been intercepted on his way here from Cincinnati, an imposter having taken his place.

When, at the close of proceedings, students and faculty filed out of Rosse Hall, it was apparent that the question of what-to-do-about-next-year would have to be faced. Possible suggestions in the air included 1. a faculty speaker (let triumph or tragedy remain within the walls). 2. a wider and more representative array of awards. 3. the postponement of honors day for about six weeks, the winners of fellowships, grants, etc. being announced and included in a program at that time.

VAUDEVILLE

Honors Day 1962 may be recorded as an improvement over Honors Day 1961. But not enough of an improvement was registered to mute the plain fact that much remained to be done to render the program an asset, a genuine honor to Kenyon College, rather than a demonstration of an academic vaudeville (remember; "an academic tramp" President Lund's phrase), and an unfortunate caricature of the academic life.

"Efficiency" Committee Recommends Reforms

A RELEVANT EDITORIAL APPEARS ON PAGE TWO

With the personal permission of President Lund, the *Collegian* was allowed for the first time this week to examine the controversial proposals of Professor Bruce Haywood's "Subcommittee on Efficiency of Instruction." These much-discussed recommendations, now under the critical consideration of the Educational Policies Committee, represent the first written fruits of a self-study still far from completion.

MINUTIAE

Suggesting that the faculty scrutiny of the proposals has thus far been confined to "seeing minutiae," President Lund advised that the subcommittee's recommendations be placed in the context of the over-all effort and attitude they represent.

Unless the *Collegian* misreads the group's report, that attitude represents a commitment to the cultivation of the good student, academic excellence, and insists that administrative policy be directed to that end. The entire structure of compulsory class attendances and frequent tests, designed for the protection of the mediocre student (forcing his attendance in one case, his review of material in the other) comes under critical scrutiny.

The committee's proposals, divided into three sections, concern

the examination system, grading system, and class attendance. Foremost in the first group is the recommendation that juniors and seniors be exempted from final examinations in all courses in their declared major subject. A "preliminary comprehensive examination" is offered as a substitute.

MORE PAPERS, FEWER TESTS

The committee has also recommended a reduction in the number of standard hour examinations and suggests that a norm of one hourly per semester be established. The committee notes: "We believe that more time should be made available for careful and thorough work on term papers: since the consensus appears to be that these are pedagogically more valuable than hour examinations (though these have their places.) Since, inevitably, hour examinations tend to

(Cont. on Page 5, Col. 1)

STUDENTS PROMISE GLOOM AND GRIME WITHOUT OUR MAIDS

by Fred Rogge

Among both maids and students the general consensus is that the typical Kenyon man is inherently sloppy, and that consequently, the administrative stroke taking away maid service next semester will neither improve the impression the campus makes on visitors nor lengthen the useful life of the dormitories, nor make the student's abode more pleasant for him. Generally, President Lund's remarkable observation about bed-making — "The boys make their own beds at Harvard and Yale" — is thought to completely miss the point. It is held that those students who want to make their beds will do so, without noticing the effort, and that those who do not want to will also notice no difference. The matter in question, however, is that everyday trash, dust, and grime will inevitably collect, producing gloom on the college scene.

Speaking objectively, the maids assure one that a few boys demonstrate admirable habits of neatness but that such situations depend entirely on a mutual understanding among roommates. Rare-

Fri. & Sat.: A program by Frans Reynders, Mime . . . Hill Theater (8:00 P.M.)

Sunday: Kenyon Symposium, Professor Hoyle on "Twentieth Century Romanticism" . . . Archon Lounge (4:00)

Monday: Mr. Dendle on "Jamaica and Its Problems" . . . Philo Hall (8:00)

ly can one fellow be found taking the initiative to straighten his room when his partner lacks any interest. Thus unless there is a concerted effort by the people who assign rooms to incoming freshmen to allot them not by a program of mixing backgrounds but by one of matching habits of neatness, it can be confidently predicted that (1) the majority of the rooms will be messy and (2) those fuzzies with formerly tidy attitudes will associate the resulting mess with college life, thereby decreasing any hope for the dorms on the hill. Objectively, once more, the maids add that if Hanna and Leonard are not kept sparkling the government inspectors will not be too pleased. To the government it must seem silly to replace the squalor that was formerly these two dorms and then to eliminate the prime method of preventing their return to such a state.

From a personal standpoint, the maids are frankly distressed at losing their jobs. Of the eighteen odd maids now employed, some have spent from 6 to 10 years here. After so long a period it does not seem fair that they should be dumped so completely without warning. The story is that the headmaid heard of the administration's decision while shopping, from a friend who had

(Cont. on Page 4, Col. 1)

Lund Will Abide

STUDENTS' OWN HIKA BILL IS PASSED, SUSTAINED

by Fred Berger

Between committee-reports on the National Student Association and the Kenyon Klan, the Student Council a fortnight ago was given a rare opportunity to demonstrate its worth as a dynamic instrument of student opinion.

The issue on the agenda was, of course, the case of the "wayward" *Hika* editors. President Lund would later say of the Council decision to "censure" rather than "dismiss" Malcolm Jensen and Charles Williams: "Although I do not necessarily agree with it, I would abide by it." For once, the Student Council had been given the final say in an issue that was anything but trivial.

The motion which passed by a 7-to-5 vote, was presented by Council Representative Tom Finger, and included the following points: 1) That "the Student Council concurs in the Publication Board's description and condemnation of the *Hika* paragraph, referring to Archibald MacLeish, as 'scurrilous and offensive.'" 2) That "the editors shall submit all copy to their faculty advisers in advance of publication and shall be obliged to listen to the recommendations of their advisers. Such counsel is not to be confused with censorship;" and 3) that "the Student Council is convinced that the action taken above is singularly appropriate in dealing with this offense and in eliminating its recurrence."

THE MAGNIFICENT TWENTY

Once the motion had passed, a group of twenty students signed a petition to have the matter brought before the student assembly. Following a clash of opinions on the procedure to be followed, the assembly was called for earlier this week, but failed to obtain a quorum.

So the controversy settled through a quiet decline of interest, the Council's decision was sustained; the group of twenty who had suddenly become "keepers of the word" decided not to submit another petition. The *Hika* editors were still in office, and everyone seemed to be relieved that it was all over.

Chairman Dean Young expertly directed the proceedings of the Student Council meeting which began with the presentation by various speakers of diverse views on the issue.

The Council had been advised by Mr. Fred Kluge, associate editor of the *Collegian*, to "stand upon your decision, without trying to second-guess the action that might be taken in other quarters." In the face of both open and implicit threats from important quarters, the "well-oiled machinery of student government" proceeded to make its recommendation deliberately.

CHALLENGED CHARM

The first to speak, for the so-called majority opinion of the Publication Board's resolution which was later discovered to be, instead, the minority opinion, was Dean Edwards. He asked the Council to reach a decision "not on the basis of student code . . . but on the basis of what is best for the College." His charm, as an experienced persuader, was challenged by an impulsive outburst from Mr. Kluge when the Dean reminded the Council that "Mr. Jensen considers Kenyon College a second-rate institution." Mr. Kluge unashamedly denounced the Dean's remarks as "irrelevant personal defamation of

the character of Malcolm Jensen."

With a somewhat arrogant though forceful delivery, Mr. Kluge read a prepared statement to the Council, condemning the procedure of the Publications Board as "baffling, irritating . . . 'Kafkaesque.'" He accused the Board of displaying "personal antagonisms and animosities" and declared that he did "not understand the depths of feelings that I saw that day." He felt the Board's resolution to dismiss the editors of *Hika* was "inherently unsatisfactory and unimpressive." Applauded at the end of his presentation, Kluge echoed the opinions expressed by Mr. Finger on behalf of the Council: "It is believed that dismissal of the editors is an unnecessarily strong penalty . . ."

An important issue was clearly whether or not outright dismissal was necessary to "disassociate" the name of the College from the "offensive editorial." In a statement less forceful than others of the evening, but presenting a very clear picture of the situation, Mr. Dean Young, having momentarily relinquished his chair, concluded of the *Hika*-editorial: "That for this offence, removal from the editorship is overly strong and ultimately somewhat pointless, seems evident to me."

When Professor Sutcliffe, widely regarded as one of the most effective orators in Gambier, rose to speak, a suspenseful shuffle went through the audience. Assuming the position of "on-behalf-of-the-college," Professor Sutcliffe expressed his well-known "displeasure" with the *Hika* editors and their remarks, which, he said, were "not offered in the context of a critical argument or illustration, but have all the marks of unprovoked malignity."

It was apparent throughout that Mr. Sutcliffe had reconsidered his position since the publication of the MacLeish letter in the *Collegian*, which had been a vitriolic attack on the character and "past record" of Malcolm Jensen. Any reference to Mr. Jensen was absent from the statement to the Student Council, but unfortunately new implications were drawn from the *Hika* editorial on the basis of an honorary degree that had allegedly been given MacLeish by Kenyon. Mr. Sutcliffe was later made aware of the fact that no such degree had been awarded.

At the conclusion of the speech, Mr. Sutcliffe said: "I ask you to speak on behalf of the undergraduates by removing these editors from a position of responsibility which they have so manifestly abused." In a separate communication to the Council,

(Cont. on Page 4, Col. 2)



Kenyon Collegian

— Since 1856 —

A BI-WEEKLY

Editor: Stephen C. Herbst
Associate Editor: P. Frederick Kluge
News Editor: John Camper
Feature Editor: Robert Kahn
Sports Editor: Tom Black
Business Manager: Robert Goldman
Advertising Manager: Barry Mankowitz
Circulation Manager: Jeff Gold
Photography Editor: Stan Friedman
Photography Staff: Martin Goldman, Cal Ellis, Salim Lone, Jim Carr
Exchange Editor: Robert Kass
Cartoonist: Mike Chapell
News Staff: Dave Colley, Dave Hackworth, Burt Hurwitz, Steve Langer, Joe McVoy, Joe Moore, Richard Passoth, Pat Robbins, Fred Rogge, Ed McCampbell, Lee Piepho.
Feature Staff: Kipp Barksdale, Fred Berger, Bob Feinglass, Barry Gordon, Mark Houser, Neal Mayer, Perrin Radley, Jeff Tallman.
Sports Staff: Arnie DeLorenzo, Bob McFarland, Charles Lynch.

POLISHED CRUTCHISM

Out of an otherwise cumbersome Self-Study program, Prof. Haywood's committee has provided the college with a number of proposals which not only point up serious problems but provide the College with positive modes of change.

The merit of the proposals is obvious. The value of research, reflection, and statement of thought in papers rather than the forced and too-frequent regurgitation of crammed notes on casually-corrected blue books is obvious. Similarly, the fact that the third best liberal arts college in the land should not have to force its students to attend classes by holding over them high-schoolish prospects of an academic or financial spanking is no less clear. That the variety of grading procedures and regrettable variations in quality amongst faculty members renders the cumulative average virtually meaningless is apparent. That to compare a four point achieved from one teacher, or even one department with another, is as ludicrous as comparing a Kenyon diploma with one from Bowling Green is, likewise, evident. That the coagulation of hourlies around that festering sore called "downs period" actually impedes the academic growth of the Kenyon student is similarly obvious. That some instructors' use of unannounced quizzes and hourlies to enforce class attendance is a poignant confession of regrettable incompetence is, again, painfully obvious.

We realize, of course, that the committee's proposals may quite properly be amended, revised, in many ways altered. We hope nonetheless that extreme over-cautiousness, that trival revision does not devitalize the force of the committee's most fundamental proposals.

Aside from the fate of the specific proposals — whose merits we feel are obvious — we hope that the attitude of the subcommittee will not be lost. It is a critical attitude. It has looked earnestly and, we think, accurately, and it has found much that is in need of change here — a veritable Augean stable of rules and regulations that are crutches, valued traditions that would turn Kenyon College into an academic infirmary, a fabric of practices designed to protect and preserve the weak, prevent the nice (albeit mediocre) boys from flunking out of school. We submit, and the committee obviously feels, that there are other goals for first-rate colleges.

The Subcommittee on Efficiency of Instruction has discovered that what, through neglect, inertia, inattention has now passed under the pseudo-sanctity of tradition, has at its apparent end an accommodation to mediocrity, an adolescent protection of incompetent students, and, ultimately, amounts to an affront to the school's original and ostensible goal of academic excellence. In a sense, we view this committee's proposals less as novel change and experimentation than earnest reform. In its attack upon vintage mediocrity and polished crutch-ism, in its implicit fear of academic stagnation but most significantly, in its positive and concrete proposals, this committee has supplied us with the hope that our current Self-Study may pay more than lip service to the problems that confront Kenyon. S.C.H., P.F.K.

Scurrilous Sausages

Although not undiminished, our admiration for the offerings of the Saga food service remains more or less in tact. Still, we think its presentation last Sunday of some of the most thoroughly odious (not to say scurrilous and offensive) sausages in recent memory deserves editorial notice (and censure). The Collegian is, on behalf of Kenyon College, under whose name and with whose tacit approval the sausages were served, offended, and, while not insisting on the dismissal of the cooks, urges them to disassociate themselves from such greasy and unpalatable fare in the future. P.F.K.

PROFESSOR SUTCLIFFE'S STATEMENT OF APOLOGY

The following is a statement of apology delivered at the Student Council Meeting.

"My letter to the Kenyon Collegian of February 16 was intended as a stinging rebuke to a wayward undergraduate. It was written not in personal but in professional indignation. It was designed to show him what sort of response he might expect if he continued in the course he is now on. The letter was not written in comparative ignorance of a remote person. It was written with a fairly complete idea of Mr. Jensen's local reputation and conduct. It was written in an effort to do what the criticism of his instructors had failed to do — to shock him into an awareness of the folly and the increasing offensiveness of his behavior.

It is apparent that Mr. Jensen and some others do not read the letter in this spirit, and that is my fault. I used a bludgeon where I should have used a ruler. As one of my critics says, it is as if a naughty child had spit in my face and I had struck him with a baseball bat. Or as another says, it is as if I had undertaken to emulate Mr. Jensen's style.

The ideal teacher has infinite patience and boundless compassion. He never lets the personality of a student affect his professional judgment of him and certainly never lets it affect his treatment of him. I have clearly revealed how far short I fall of that ideal. My patience is proven to be finite and my manner inappropriate to my purpose.

If I have exceeded my powers, seeming to malign Mr. Jensen when I intended to rebuke him, I am sorry. If I have compromised my effectiveness as teacher and faculty member, I am sad. If I have compromised the College, I am ashamed." Denham Sutcliffe.

COUNCIL LAUDED FOR DECISION

To The Editor:

I would like to congratulate the Student Council for its reasonable solution to an issue that had grown to ridiculous proportions. The decision it reached that night in front of a sizeable audience reflects a rational grasp of the problem that I regret was lacking in the less reasonable, more emotional decisions of others. The petition to review the Council's decision on *Hika* was supported by a small group of dissenters, and clearly did not enjoy the sympathy of the majority of the student body. If it did, a quorum would have assembled, and voted for it. Perhaps we have learned from this example that a quiet, deliberate and early handling of a problem will prevent it from exploding beyond its proper proportions, and hurting supporters of both sides.

James W. Monell '63

RINGWALT'S
FRONT - DOOR
MEN'S
SHOP

The People's Bank

Member of Federal Deposit
Insurance Corp.
Gambier, Ohio

ALUMNUS DEPLORES HIKA CONTROVERSY

John M. Anderson, '60, the writer of the following letter, graduated from Kenyon with high honors in political science. A former editor of *Hika* and president of Psi Upsilon fraternity, he now attends Yale Law School.

To The Editor:

Your February 16th issue found its way to Connecticut and aroused my interest in the *Hika* controversy, if the affair can rise to the status of a controversy. As a former editor of *Hika* I have a sentimental interest in its health, but this controversy has reflected more important concerns. First let me say that it is highly doubtful that Archibald MacLeish will file an action in the Knox County Court of Common Pleas against the editors of the college. The truly libelous material appears in Professor Sutcliffe's letter.

The first paragraph of the *Hika* editorial may well have been in bad taste, but the issues which gleam through the *Collegian* articles are more important and are concerned with the entire college. *Hika* is usually unsung. It is also hereditary. Former editors usually pick their successors and the magazine is operated by a small interested in-group. The Publications Board is usually a non-functioning organ which does little or nothing to encourage, co-ordinate or supervise college writing.

There are several aspects of life in Gambier which might be focused through this controversy. First, the student reaction is somewhat amazing. Has it suddenly dawned upon the student body that they do not control their own destinies? Are they amazed that a "fair hearing" was absent? Do they stand in awe when the Administration and those who parrot Administration policy rattle their sabers? Kenyon College is not a co-operative movement. It is and shall remain an institution where boys take orders. The machinery of "student opinion" or "student self-rule" is a sham. It was created by the Administration long ago as a sop and a substitute for responsibility. It is unfortunate that the college does not face up and operate openly on the lines which actually govern. The college should admit its justifiable authoritarian structure and stop hiding behind Wonderland blinds.

Second, and the most striking element in this controversy, is the presumptuousness of all the parties. The editors who have run with a free hand for years have had their say. The circulation of *Hika* is so minuscule as to moot the immediate issue. Does anyone actually believe that the bartenders, department store clerks and laundresses who advertise in the magazine would read it? Does anyone believe that the exchange copies find any resting place other than a wastebasket? With few exceptions the reading public is Gambier. Now I assume that a few undergraduates, mostly contributors or aspiring contributors, read *Hika*. Those faculty members who have nothing better to do might glance through the publication while they sit listlessly in their offices during those hours when no students arrive for counseling. Those people who are paid to polish and maintain the non-existent IMAGE OF KENYON probably look to each edition of *Hika* in hopes of finding an item for the hometown newspaper of a contributor or an item to be filed in the dull letter to parents the following month. This is the vast audience which is startled, nay shocked, by the Williams-Jensen letter.

Surely the college does have an interest in those items which besmirch its name. Initially, if the college were properly operated, it would have secured the editorial line it wanted. However, because of its past slipshod practices it is seeking whipping boys. It is frankly disgusting to see academic tradesmen currying the favors of demi-gods at the expense of the young with whose nominal instruction they are entrusted.

Closed as life at Kenyon is, and extremely self-conscious of trivia, this affair is merely another example of the teapot tempests rather than the Teapot Domes which exist in Gambier. It would seem that the Administration might have something better to do — I might suggest that they concentrate upon the second paragraph of the *Hika* editorial. It is interesting to notice that the faculty has so much free time to devote to its petty inquisitions. All of this must account for the paucity of publication by the faculty in their trade journals and the Administration's slow rate of endowment return.

While Kenyon College is engaged in soul-searching through questionnaires and trying to blow up its public image it had better think this affair through. Putting aside the farce of student self-rule, fair hearings, shouting and the beating of the collective breasts, we find a more serious problem. The editors of *Hika* printed an abusive criticism of Mr. MacLeish. Yet the Chairman of the Department of English printed a far more abusive, scurrilous, vicious and personal attack about a student. This is something which no responsible Administration should tolerate and Mr. Jensen, if he is so inclined, might well file his action in the Knox County Court of Common Pleas. If we recognize, as we should, that a college is a special kept world, then we know that the President and the trustees must exercise authority and responsibility. However, this is not a license for the irresponsible libel of a student by an employee of the institution.

If Kenyon College is to "teach" anything, it should teach responsibility and individual self-realization. Professor Sutcliffe has surely set a poor example of responsibility. If the college is to direct, then it must direct and stop sneaking. In this letter I am speaking for many of the younger alumni who are disgusted by this entire controversy and the obvious bungling which it reflects.

Very truly yours,
John M. Anderson '60

CHAPEL SERVICES
10:30 A. M.

MARCH 4th

THE CHAPLAIN

MARCH 11th

BISHOP STEPHEN NEILL

Candies

Gifts

Village Inn
LUNCHEON
DINNER

Pipes

Tobacco

Humphreys Sees:

The Free World At War

In this, the first of two articles, Mr. Humphreys has availed himself of the Collegian's standing invitation to students of all political persuasions to submit their views, in coherent, literate form, to this journal.

by Harry Humphreys

It seems to me that one of the basic reasons for the lack of understanding between the liberal and conservative positions is due to the fact that present-day political problems, if they are to be intelligently discussed, must be discussed in the light of certain understandings of the realities of the problems confronted. This involves, on the part of both "liberals" and "conservatives," an acceptance of certain realistic premises, which are as follows:

APATHY, RESIGNATION, AND BANKRUPTCY

1. The United States is now at war with Communism in general, and the Soviet Union in particular. It is not, of course, an out-and-out shooting war between belligerents; nevertheless, there are military implications (as evidenced, for example, by the limited-war in South Viet-Nam, Laos, in Africa, in South America — everywhere. Communism is a parasite, thriving on any form of disorder or chaos; it seems to me futile to argue that our's is not a topsy-turvy world today. Again the world order is crumbling, again freedom is on the defensive. Where once there was hope, today apathy, resignation, and "bankruptcy" reign for the most part.

Having presented my first premise and some of its far-reaching implications, I wish to make my second point:

PEACEFUL CO-EXISTENCE?

2. The nature of Soviet (and Chinese) Communism is undeniably imperialistic. "Peaceful co-existence" is a self-deception. Khrushchev's conceited remark "we will bury you," his prediction (i.e. threat) that our children will live under "Socialism," and his public utterances that only x-number of bombs are needed to destroy such-and-such a nation and y-number to devastate such-and-such a civilization should be enough to convince "enlightened" people of the seriousness of the situation.

But even if one is beguiled by semantics, he certainly should not be blinded to realities. Since 1945, the imperialistic advances of Communism have been unequalled and without precedent by any other dogma or doctrine in the annals of world history. We have seen a figurative "iron curtain" (and now, literally, a wall) jail in the courageous and suffering peoples of Eastern Europe; we have seen the proud Chinese spirit made docile under its yoke; Tibet, North Viet-Nam, and Northern Laos have helplessly fallen into the Communist orbit. Guinea, Southern Laos, Ghana, The Congo, India and many other nations are now festering in turmoil and seemingly on the brink of being drawn into this orbit. And most phenomenal of all, a Communist state has been imposed on a great people just 90 miles or so south of the Florida mainland. However, the most significant fact with regard to the whole situation is that in not one of these countries (including the U.S.S.R.) has Communism become the *modus vivendi* of the people by choice; never have the Communists permitted true free elections, nor is it likely that they ever will!

A FRIGHTENING NIGHTMARE

The misguided, but once idealistic dreams of Karl Marx and Nicolai Lenin have turned into a frightening nightmare, sinking the souls of proud men into disillusionment and degradation. Ostensibly the "dictatorship of the proletariat" has been realized in many nations; but in actuality this dictatorship turns out to be something far worse — an elite of the pigs, by the pigs, and for the pigs!

My thesis can now be partially stated, "The Free World is at war with an amorally imperialistic enemy, Communism, whose intent is to foster world revolution and/or national 'revolutions' by any means possible to attain its one end — Communist world-wide domination." It seems to me that it is on these realistic terms, and only on these terms or something similar, that solutions to the political problems that confront us can be discussed. This leads me to a few general applications:

(1) If we are to preserve our freedom, and gain freedom for the diverse captive peoples, we must defeat the forces of Communist imperialism first. The war we are fighting is not at the present time against Nationalist China's Chiang Kai-Shek, Spain's Franco, Portugal's Salazar, Verwoerd's South Africa and other so-called "right wing," or as the Communists and our own State Department would say "reactionary," regimes. While these countries do not meet our democratic criteria, they nevertheless are 1) dedicated in the struggle to stamp-out world Communism, and (2) they have no imperialistic motives of their own; or if they should, self-inspection and discretion tell them that, due to their relative power, it would be unwise and unrealistic to exploit imperialistic doctrines.

(2) Since we are engaged in a power struggle, it is necessary that we ourselves use power. Due to the immanent nature of the situation, power must be met with power, not with appeasement (which did not work with Hitler's Nazi and not with the brave words but the empirically discredited policies of "containment." The United States must meet the challenge with force of its own, it must work to gradually "roll back" the Communists, to "liberate" the slaves of Eastern Europe and the hungry and spiritless Asiatic victims of "the menace."

ALL'S FAIR IN LOVE AND WAR

This is, of course, where the Conservative dilemma sets it: the Conservative must again give up some of his long-held and traditional principles to meet an emergency situation. He is (unfairly) charged with being militantly militaristic, with casting away his dignity and reason because he has been overcome with hysteria. Actually, the Conservative, in this situation, assumes the role of the realist: he is rallying to preserve the hopes that man has held latent or manifest from time immemorial, he is again ready to fight for human freedom. "All's fair in love and war" — when man's fate depends on the outcome of that war, when man is fighting to preserve his liberty, when the forces of freedom and tyranny meet in combat.

A DISORDERED WORLD

Therefore, we Conservatives feel that there must be a reversal

Letters To the Editor:

TWO VIEWS ON "HIKA FIASCO"

**Bad Teams . . .
Bad Magazines . . .**

To The Editor:

In the recent *Hika* fiasco several things came out which should not go unquestioned. Some of these were put forcefully in a letter to the editor from James Carr that appeared in the last issue of the *Collegian*.

Mr. Carr questions the value of the literary magazine at Kenyon College. He suggests we condemn it to the flames and use the money for rehabilitating the library. For years our football team added nothing to Kenyon's glory. Three years ago the basketball team won two and lost sixteen.

INVIOULATE FUNDS

The College never thought of taking the funds from either ball team to rehabilitate the library, even though each of these is a much greater expense to the College than *Hika*. Why not? If it was because the College felt that those students who enjoyed the exercise had a right to ask the College to sponsor them even though they were losers, then those students who enjoy the literary magazine certainly have the same right.

What did we do about our bad ball teams? The College spent more time and money to recruit better coaches and better players. Are we unwilling to do as much if we do indeed have a *Hika* of such unsatisfactory literary quality that it reflects on the College.

DEPARTMENT OF SWIMMING

The Kenyon Department of Swimming is probably one of the best in the College. We offer the highest level of swimming instruction. Our swimming coach is one of the very best in the country. With such a high level of instruction and some encour-

agement on the part of the College we have been able to attract some of the best swimming students in the country. It is highly regretful that the same is not true of every academic department of the College. If the Kenyon literati are below par, we should make every effort to improve the quality of the students and/or the faculty, whichever is most needed. We should be willing to do at least as much for the academic departments of the College as for the athletic. It was not the Swimming Department or any other sport that established Kenyon College as an institution famed for its excellent level of instruction and the high quality of its students. Perhaps we should turn "grants-in-aid" into *scholarships*. Perhaps we could turn down money for football bleachers and insist on high-paying academic chairs.

COACHES, COACHES, COACHES . . .

Which is more important to the College — athletics or humane letters? If the latter are in danger of not being the best, something should be done other than eliminating them and promoting sports. Kenyon offers no physical education major, yet the number of instructors in that department is greater than any other, save the English Department.

The degree of excellence of athletics at Kenyon has increased greatly in just three years. At a time of increasing competition for academic excellence, our academic departments have not so evidently improved.

I am not suggesting we do away with swimming or any other activity as long as we make an equal effort in maintaining the academic excellence of the College. We have today an almost nationwide network seeking athletes for Kenyon's glory. (Lest I be accused of doing the Purple and White Committee an injustice, I should mention they are looking for good students, too).

WATERING DOWN

Our admissions board tells us the College can increase the next freshman class by thirty men without any loss of quality. I hope they are speaking of academic quality. I hope the extra thirty are somewhat higher in quality than the bottom twenty admitted with each of the present classes. If they are not we are certainly watering down the quality of the College, not improving it. I would hate to see us continuing to be able to compete with the Ohio State University in sports while falling behind it in academic improvements.

STRANGE PROMOTION . . .

Haverford College is rated the best men's college in the country. It also has possibly the worst football team in the country. It would be difficult to prove that the time and money spent on sports detracted from the excel-

(Continued page 6, Col. 1)

Reads With Disgust

To the Editor:

It was with disgust that I read the last issue of *Hika*, and I wish to say that I stand with the faculty in their decision to remove the editors of this magazine.

What has the student been offered in the last *Hika*? Has he not been offered an insult, if not to his intelligence, certainly to his judgment of what is good and laudable in literature? When I read something that an author has written, I must inevitably

consider whether or not it is something to educate and present a well thought out point of view, above all, truth, and whether it is something which can contribute to my own betterment by showing me my faults and misconceptions. This *Hika* has not done so. Why should we, when we turn to the list of contributors, find such a thing as this: "Malcom Jensen counterfeits with more than average skill. He majors in English, protestingly."? And, moreover, why should we open the book only to find that the human mind can utter only foul language as opinions when it sees ". . . appropriate occasions"? It is my opinion that there was very little that deserves the name of literature in *Hika*, and that The Undergraduate Literary Magazine of Kenyon College has become something not for students, but for the pleasure of those who want to express opinions in editorial comments, and attract attention. This is more than just a question of libel.

I am surprised by the amount of bad feeling toward the faculty. It is not the place of the students to read the riot act to the faculty. I have heard some on this subject who believe that students know entirely too much about what goes on at faculty meetings. Student opinion is indeed a valuable thing, but unless it is accompanied by self-knowledge and experience it is of less value than it could be. Perhaps the student does know what is good for him; if this is true, then a good part of our education here at Kenyon may be dispensed with.

So I will stand on the side of the faculty in its desire to remove the present editors of *Hika* from their positions, particularly when these editors have allowed libellous and second-rate pieces of writing go into print with their names on them. I hope there are others who feel this way.

Dick Foster
February 16, 1962

The Klan and Money

To The Editor:

This letter is in response to your Feb. 16 editorial entitled "Money and the Kenyon Klan." Upon viewing the financial plight of WKCO we heartily agree that something ought to be done to alleviate the station's impoverished situation; however, we disagree with your implication that the Kenyon Klan's source of funds should provide a solution for the poverty of other clubs.

The Klan's function as stated in its constitution is to promote the college's varsity and intramural athletic program. We perform this function with the funds we receive from the operation of vending machines and concession stands.

As you stated we recently voted in favor of acquiring a new scoreboard. The present scoreboard was designed for indoor use and not for the rigorous outdoor punishment to which it is subjected. The obvious solution is the purchase of a scoreboard built to withstand all kinds of weather thus saving money in the long run.

Secondly, Klan blankets, \$14.15 apiece, are awarded to seniors who have maintained the traditions of the Klan. We feel that we are entirely justified in granting them this token for their contribution to Kenyon College athletics.

John Oliver
Philip Mayer

Music Review

Quintet Found Charming

by Perrin Rodley

The audience at the first George Gund concert, February 22, at Rosse Hall, heard the Quintetto Boccherini in works by Boccherini, Malipiero, and the C major Quintet of Schubert. They heard them done very well, indeed. One almost expects a group that can do all of Boccherini's quintets to turn out every phrase quite charmingly and correctly; they played the Op. 18 quintet exactly in that manner, but one wishes that they had chosen a later work, at least after 1780, that would give the audience something more of Boccherini's marked originality. The quintet was simply a chamber concerto for violin, of the most common 1770's sort; only in the Trio of the Minuet was it possible to get a hint of the later Boccherini.

Malipiero is most famous as an editor of early Italian music, and

it is plausible that his Symphony for Strings (1947, 1954) was written as a rather subtle parody of those works of which the Boccherini is one very good example. It would be an easy task to go through the score and point to page after page of his recollections of 18th century quartet writing practice, hardly even changed in the new medium. The Symphony was an impressive work, with a notable second movement.

Of the Schubert nothing need be said: the magnificent work was performed quite excellently, and it must have been a pleasure to the Quintetto that, at least from the applause, it seemed the audience had appreciated this difficult work.

The next George Gund concert will be April 30, the Doktor-Menuhin duo playing.

Grime and Gloom Predicted

(Cont. from Page 1, Col. 3)

read it in the Collegian. The complaint about the lack of foreknowledge is problematical for most maids, who point out that even if they had enough time and luck to find other jobs they still would face a cut in their wage rate.

Student opinion falls on all sides of the question. Bob Bales agrees with the unlikelihood of a Kenyon man diligently keeping his room in order, but reacts to the hardship on the maids with, "that's life, unpleasant but it can't be helped."

Dave Powers concurs with another thought by Bales, that if the college is going to remove the maids the least it can do is leave the equipment. Everyone with his own broom, dust pan and mop, they suggest.

"I'd rather leave the administration to the administration," Hugh Healy advises, "but the dirt on the floor, which can't be helped during the muddy season, presents a good case against this decision both on the basis of health and protection of the building." More succinctly Rich Rubin quipped, "sloppy now — worse later." Dick Eicher, being less severe on his contemporaries' housekeeping, merely suggests that the new procedure would take a few grimy months to get used to. Calmer yet, Al Reich saw "no great tragedy. The maids are nice to have but if the administration needs the money . . ."

John Nelson decidedly disagrees with Reich. He feels Kenyon stands to lose on three counts. One, the maids make life more pleasant by being nice people. Two, by just being there they induce neatness. And three, they often help with laundry and sewing problems. Dick Spero also disputes Reich by questioning whether the college will actually save any money. The administration can not let the dorms fall apart, he reasons, so they will have to spend some money, possibly under the proposal to admit the maids once a week. If a once a week visit is to be successful, he feels, just about as much work will have to be done, and at about the same cost.

Other reactions include a prediction for some dirty ordeals for next year's pledges, a complaint about not being a "self maid man," and a suggestion that the fraternities may decide to hire their own service.

Whether it will prove beneficial or not, this change has apparently come about quite quickly and has made many adjustments necessary.

ALDRICH, NEILL WILL DEBATE ON MISSIONS

Are Christians justified in attempting to impart their faith to others, or should they keep their religion to themselves? This question will be debated by Bishop Stephen Neill (pro) and Dr. Virgil Aldrich (con) at the next meeting of the Kenyon Christian Fellowship on Sunday, March 11, at 7:15 P.M. in the Peirce Hall Lounge. Each participant will bring with him to the debate an acquaintance with non-Christian cultures which should result in a lively and instructive dialogue. Bishop Neill, of England, spent twenty years in India as a missionary and eventually as Bishop of Tinnevely. He is the author of many books, among them one dealing with the position of the Christian Faith with regard to other faiths. Presently he is playing a prominent role in the Ecumenical Movement. Dr. Aldrich, who was born in India, has for many years been deeply interested in Oriental cultures, and among the posts he has held during his career is that of Director of the Kyoto American Studies Seminar in Japan. He is presently Chairman of the Department of Philosophy. The important and controversial nature of the question to be discussed promises a rewarding evening, and all are invited to attend.

Council Sustained

(Cont. from Page 1, Col. 5)

Mr. Sutcliffe presented an apology for his letter to Archibald MacLeish: "My letter was intended as a stinging rebuke to a wayward undergraduate . . . If I have exceeded my powers, seeming to malign Mr. Jensen when I intended to rebuke him, I am sorry. If I have compromised my effectiveness as teacher and faculty member, I am sad. If I have compromised the College, I am ashamed."

A succession of attacks, counter attacks, apologies and heated debates had come to an end. Through it all, the most positive aspect of the controversy seemed to be the effectiveness with which the Student Council handled an important and delicate problem.

Student Claims:

SPU AIDS REDS

To The Editor:

Recently members of the Student Peace Union of Kenyon posted petitions throughout the campus. Although many of us are familiar with their objectives in the interests of this letter it is beneficial to briefly restate them.

They find "that the essentially military response of the U. S. to the Soviet challenge has been inadequate, self-defeating and profoundly dangerous." In short, they are striving for a peace without war. To achieve this they intend to induce President Kennedy not to resume testing in the atmosphere, paralyze the Administrations' fallout shelter program, build sentiment for unilateral disarmament and push the U. S. into disarmament negotiations with Moscow — with fewer preconditions like inspection and arms control. It cannot be denied that these objectives are good; however they will never materialize and in the end will be detrimental to our country.

At present, this group and others like it are pressuring many communities, no doubt spurred by Moscow's jettisoning of disarmament talks. But the pressures today are only a shadow of what's ahead.

These anti-nuclear groups present a ticklish problem for J.F.K. In Seattle he openly attacked them, without naming any of the extremists on either right or left. The problem is ticklish because prominent Democrats like Walter Reuther and Eleanor Roosevelt have taken positions sympathetic to the anti-nuclear campaign. So have the left-wing Democratic ADA and the New York Post.

It should be noted that for the most part the groups are not Communist-run. They could not however be more welcome to the Reds just now, since their aims happen to serve Soviet policy. However Communists are ensconced in some of the groups, of course, relishing the free ride. So are other people long in tune with Soviet foreign policy. For example, there is Pete Seeger, the well known guitarist and folksinger. He's won attention acting as a kind of Pied Piper to the "peace marchers." He performed a similar function back in the Nazi-Soviet pact days when he was a member of the Almanac Singers. One of Seeger's lyrics in those days put the following words in F.D.R.'s mouth: "I hate war, and so does Eleanor, but we won't be safe until everyone is dead." Ironically enough, during the New York peace march an appropriate refrain was toed around: "JFK, I'm not trying to kill your family — why are you trying to kill mine?"

It is interesting to note also the link between some of the "peace marchers" and the campaign to kill the House Un-American Activities Committee. A new 28-minute documentary film — "Wasn't That A Time?" — deals with four families allegedly injured by the committee. Just by coincidence, Seeger was among the "victims" shown in the film.

We should not dismiss the "peace marchers" or other groups. True, they will fail in their aim of preventing tests, but their influence will multiply as nuclear pacifism spreads. To see why just look at the 1930's —

By the mid 1930's pacifism grew into a widespread campaign against munition makers, called "The Merchants of Death." Then came the N.Y.C. Investigating Committee (Counsel: Alger Hiss). This in turn paved the way for the Neutrality Act of 1936.

Unfortunately, today's anti-nuclear campaign won't spread that far. The real danger is that ex-

Letter To the Editor:

Do Students Really Care?

To The Editor:

It's over. The editors of the *Hika* have retained their posts by default of the student body. It is no longer important to discuss a question which is purely academic now. But before this whole matter is forgotten, as I am sure it will be, I would like to say one thing to this college; I am truly ashamed of the student body at Kenyon, not because the students were for or against the action taken by the Student Council (against Mr. Jensen and Mr. Williams), but because they did not care at all.

In a private college such as ours, the student exists only by the grace of the administration. All the privileges which the student has are those granted to him by the administration, an administration which has the perfect very right to take these privileges away at any time. The student

has no rights, only privileges. In order to keep these privileges, he must show himself worthy of them. Because the majority of the students at Kenyon chose not to participate in student government, they have shown themselves unworthy of this privilege.

It is truly amazing to me that a student body violently interested in national politics (which affect us but little at present), should fail to be interested in a subject which **does** affect us more closely — and that is the welfare of Kenyon College. Perhaps, somewhere in the far distant future, there will be students here who care enough about their college to voice their opinions. Perhaps there will be students here who **have** opinions. Only then will the students of Kenyon deserve to govern themselves.

Jeffrey G. Dorrance

6 KENYON MEN AMONG 8000 TO DEMONSTRATE

Dave Powers

Six representatives from Kenyon's Student Peace Union joined with eight thousand other students in Washington Action '62 to show their concern with the problems of the arms race. The six — Dave Powers, Barry Gorden, Rick Phelps, Bob Feinglass, Edward Ordman, and Mark Houser — left Gambier late Thursday afternoon, cutting weekend classes and driving against winter weather, to join in the first activities in Washington Friday morning.

FORMIDABLE SPONSORS

The project was conceived as an exercise in democracy, a Peace Lobby, and was coordinated by the non-partisan Turn Toward Peace Committee, whose sponsors include the United Auto Workers, the International Electricians Union, David Riesman, Norman Cousins, Robert Hutchins, Mark Van Doren, Jules Feiffer, Van Wyck Brooks, and Edmund Wilson.

Student concern was shown through confrontations with Representatives and Senators, and State Department officials, in discussions with embassy officials from every major foreign power, as well as in mass picketing of the White House and the Russian Embassy.

President Kennedy took pity on those who picketed on Friday in slush and freezing rain, and sent coffee out to the two hundred students who were urging greater gestures for peace. Their associates spent the day visiting elected representatives and embassy officials to present alternatives to the present arms race, and to urge that the United States take "peace initiatives."

Saturday the seven thousand students who were picketing the White House were joined by over a thousand colleagues from the area around New York City. They

carried signs urging a ban on nuclear testing, repudiation of the present Civil Defense program, and a strengthened United Nations. At 12:30 the first picket left the White House to march to Arlington to place a wreath at the Tomb of the Unknowns. It was not until 3:00 that the last of the two and a half mile procession filed past 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue. A mass rally at the base of the Washington Monument, addressed by Norman Thomas and other speakers, concluded the weekend's activities.

Editorial support from the *New York Times* and the implicit endorsement of the project by nation-wide press were encouraging but represent only a small part of what this group accomplished. People never before involved with the peace movement have awakened to the fact that organizations do exist and that as individuals they can accomplish something; Congressmen, with few exceptions, were impressed by both the calibre and tone of the demonstrators, giving serious consideration to the proposals advocated by the "peaceniks"; but, perhaps most important, a degree of responsiveness was evoked. It's up to all those concerned with the peace race to see that their responsiveness grows and is translated into effective action.

Mermen Try . . .

(Cont. from Page 5, Col. 5)

O. will leave a vacancy in Kenyon swimming that may never be filled. Undeclared in the backstroke, conference champion and record holder in the 440- and 220-yard freestyle, and the 220 individual medley, Phil is the greatest swimmer in Kenyon and conference history. His spectacular achievements this year in the backstroke will probably gain for him All-American recognition, a great achievement for any Kenyon athlete.

tremists on both right and left will tend to polarize the nation, divide and paralyze it. . . . Lenin's classic formula for internal erosion of the enemy.

Barry J. Mankowitz

Track Team

(Cont. from Page 5, Col. 4)

Kenyon scored in every event and took six firsts in a triangular meet with Denison and Muskingum in Granville, Saturday, Feb. 17, and claimed its first victory in as many tries. The Lords finished with 62 points to the Muskies' 54 and the Redmen's 43. Kenyon victories came in the half-mile relay (Bissel, Clarke, Sweeney, Shevitz, 1:37.5); 55-yd. dash (Shevitz, 6.2); 440 run (Bissel, 53.9); half-mile run (Monell, 2:03.1); 55 low hurdles (Shevitz, 6.9) and the mile relay (Bissel, Pettigrew, Mieceur, Clarke, 3:38.3). Monell also won the mile but was disqualified.

DENISON GETS LAST LAUGH:

BIG RED HALT CAGERS' TOURNEY HOPES, 81-67

by Tom Black, Sports Editor

A battle-bruised and mentally weary Kenyon basketball team, after setting a new school season record with nine straight victories, found the road uphill a bit too long and steep, a bit too tiring and demanding, and ended its 13-9 season with an 81-67 defeat to an overpowering Denison, Thursday, Feb. 22, in the opening night of the Ohio Conference tourney at Capital University in Columbus.

It was the most productive year since 1948-49, when the Lords were 12-6.

The fact that the two rivals had met just a week earlier in Gambier, with Kenyon winning handily 75-62, proved to be more advantageous to the Redmen than the Lords. Lord Coach Bob Harrison used virtually all the tricks he knew in the Gambier rematch, and it gave Denison coach Dick Scott enough time to alter his strategies. And Scott did something which no other team could do this year — he solved Kenyon's menacing "rat" defense, which sends skyscraper center Jeff Slade and guards Tom Collins and Ken Klug up front to hound the opponents outside shooters and to frustrate an ypass patterns.

But the Big Red hotshot guards, Ken Foster and Jerry Brookbank, both natives of Granville, remained totally unmolested by the usually gnawing "rat." They worked their pass patterns at will and shot with machine-like precision. When unable to set up an open man, the marksmen guards would just stand back and drop 20 to 25-foot bombs.

Player	G	FG	FT	RB	TP	AV
Slade	22	199	130	322	528	24.0
Liv'ston	22	83	56	246	222	10.1
Schmid	19	60	39	141	159	8.4
DeSeim	20	24	12	37	60	3.0
Collins	22	93	49	54	235	10.7
Klug	19	84	31	36	199	10.5
Backus	17	14	9	22	37	2.2
Others	—	11	16	51	38	—
TEAM	22	568	342	1155	1478	67.2

Kenyon struggled to a 39-34 half-time lead and kept on top several minutes into the final period, but its assault began to wilt at the sight of Denison's unbelievable deadly potshot tandem, Fos-

ter and especially Brookbank, who finished with eight-for-nine on fielders and meshed nine out of 10 charity tosses.

Denison caught up at 12:53, 48-48. Kenyon added the next two points, but the Redmen retied the count at 50-all at 11:40. Denison then strung in eight straight points while the Lord offense remained static. This was the turning point of the contest and it virtually sealed Kenyon's casket; and Badman Brookbank presided over the wake with his torrid shooting. To add to its miseries, Kenyon's Tom Collins fouled out at 9:52 and that was all Denison needed.

Team	Conf.	Season
O. Wesleyan	15-1	20-2
Wittenberg	10-2	15-4
Akron	10-4	15-5
B.-W.	9-2	12-12
Muskingum	9-4	11-8
KENYON	8-6	13-9
Marietta	6-5	12-7
Denison	3-7	10-9
Oberlin	5-5	8-9
Capital	7-8	9-11
Hiram	3-9	6-12
Wooster	2-10	5-15
Mt. Union	3-11	7-14
Otterbein	3-12	4-15
Heidelberg	2-11	4-16

Harrison then instructed his men to go into the "rat," but Denison played the cat, and was never headed. Kenyon inched closer a couple of times — once 65-59 with six minutes left, but the Red, hitting an unbeatable 86 percent on field goals the second half and 62 percent for the game, pumped in nine consecutive points while denying their shell-shocked adversaries and led 73-59 at 4:43. Kenyon lost another valuable man in the meantime, as freshman starlet Ken Klug dislocated his fragile right shoulder with about five minutes left.

SLADE DENIED
But it was all over except for the last rites, and it was a shocked and saddened Bob Harrison who saw his hopes for a shot at Wittenberg and the Southern Division tourney title shattered. Even more agonizing was the fact that All-Conference senior center Slade was refused the chance to surpass the conference career mark of 1760, set by Wittenberg's Terry Deems in the 1958-59 season, Slade's freshman year. The big six-six standout closed his star-studded career

TRACKMEN TEAR OFF TWO STRAIGHT WINS

Undefeated in its first two outings, the Kenyon indoor track team entertains Capital University in a dual meet tomorrow in the field house. The contest will begin with field events at 1:30 p. m.

Kenyon posted its second victory last Saturday with an easy 82% to 44% thumping of arch-rival Ohio Wesleyan in Gambier. The Lords claimed 11 of 15 possible places, eight seconds, and four thirds. Dana "Guffy" Clarke, Bill Sweeney, and Bob Stutz pranced to a one-two-three finish in the 300-yard dash in that order, highlighting the day's activities.

SHEVITZ STARS
Other notable track achievements were junior Dave Shevitz' triumph in the 55-yard dash with :06.0. Shevitz also finished first in the 55-yard low hurdles in 6.8 seconds, and ran the anchor leg of Kenyon's winning 880-yd. relay team, composed of Clarke, Al Pettibone, and Sweeney. Clarke, clicking in the 300- and 880-yd. relay events, was the only other

to win more than once. Jim Mieux finished behind Shevitz in the lows and copped a first in the 55-yd. high hurdles in 7.5 seconds.

In distance hauls, junior Jim Monell won the 880 in 2:03.4; Doug Helfrich captured the 600 in 1:19.0; and Carl Pomranka took the two-mile jaunt in 10:29.4. In field competition, Kenyon halved the four events, with freshman Jeff Chentow winning the broad jump with a span of 21'3 1/2", and Roy Walker snaring the pole vault at 12'.

In the fifth annual All-Ohio collegiate track and field tournament, Wednesday, Feb. 21, at Ohio State U., Jim Monell sped to a new Kenyon mark in the 880 with a scorching 1:57.4 clocking. Monell left such competition as OSU, Ohio U. and Miami in his wake. The old Lord indoor record was owned by Monell — 2:03.0. The indoor standard is 2:00.0.

(Cont. on Page 4, Col. 5)

'62 Baseball Team Best in a Decade; 10 Lettermen Back

Ten returning lettermen head a list of 27 candidates for the 1962 Lod baseball team, labeled "potentially the best club that the school has seen in 10 years" by Coach Skip Falkenstine, as training began in the field house last Monday.

Last year's 9-5 season was the best recorded since 1919, and only the third winning campaign to appear in the last nine years. "If we can get off on the right track and escape injury, this ball club will win a lot of games," commented the pilot. "A big factor that could hurt us though is the coming vacation," he continued. "Just as the team will be rounding into shape, the recess will interrupt. And the season starts just one week after we return, so a lot depends on how we progress before vacation," he added.

The team, boasting at least one letterman for every position, finds juniors Tom Collins and Cal Ellis at first and shortstop, respectively, while seniors Paul Niemeyer and captain Bob Dudgeon complete the infield at third and second, in that order. Outfield letter-getters are sophomore Hubie

Matmen Find O. C. Cupboards Bare

The Kenyon wrestlers, who started the year with a lean and hungry look, found it a complete famine Monday in New Concord, as Muskingum pinned them with a 27-3 defeat, their 11th of the year against no victories. Freshman Dick Wortman, 147-pounder, gained all of Kenyon's points.

The Lords, who will enter the Ohio Conference championships at Denison, today and tomorrow, as severe underdogs, came close to a victory, Thursday, Feb. 22, against Capital in Rosse Hall. Going into the final two matches, the score was tied 13-13, but both Kenyon wrestlers in the 177-lb.

with 21 points for a grand total of 1748.

A week earlier, on Feb. 15 in the field house before some 600 crazed partisans, Kenyon avenged its 62-49 December loss to Denison, as it sent the Big Red reeling, 75-62. A tremendously unified team, goaded by a wild and determined crowd, countered its own errors with an alert defense

Player	School	G	P	Av.
SLADE	KENYON	22	528	24.0
Walters	B.-W.	24	435	18.1
Hirt	Marietta	19	334	17.5
Clemens	O. Wes.	22	376	17.1
Dill	Capital	19	323	17.0
Meyer	Hiram	18	292	16.2
Thomas	Wooster	20	320	16.0
Burson	Muskingum	18	276	15.8
Boyce	Marietta	19	295	15.5
Schrock	B.-W.	24	365	15.2

Standout Slade Finishes Great College Career

In four seasons of basketball at Kenyon, team captain and all-conference center Jeff Slade played a large hand in rewriting the record books, but the big one got away.

A product of Bloom High in Chicago Heights, Slade made steady improvement after a slow start this year and boosted his season output to 1727 at the end of the regular conference action. A victory over Denison in the tourney probably would have put him over Terry Deem's conference career mark of 1760. As it was, Slade finished with 1748 points.

(Cont. on Page 5, Col. 4)

TAKE 10-1 RECORD TO HIRAM:

LORDS TRY FOR NINTH STRAIGHT SWIM CROWN

With the meets against Ohio Wesleyan and Oberlin last Friday and Saturday, the Kenyon swimming team concluded its most successful season. These two victories gave the swimmers a 10-1 mark in dual meet competition. Today and tomorrow the team is at Hiram for the conference championships. But Kenyon's ninth straight conference crown won't be as easy to gain as the impressive victories over past conference opponents. In order to win, the Lords will have to take many second and third places, to make up for a likely dearth of first places. Wesleyan, Akron, Wooster, Baldwin-Wallace, and Wittenberg all boast top-grade individual performers.

Hicks, junior Curt Cree, and senior Pete Roche.

Junior standout Joe Adkins, second in the conference last year with a brilliant 0.38 earned-run average in 46 2/3 innings, will return to the mound with sophomore Henry Pool. Kenyon's second line pitching staff will need freshman aid, as the only other player on the team with hurling experience is Collins. High among freshman pitching prospects loom Paul Crawley and Ed Kiefer.

Infield support should come chiefly from sophomores Bruce Twine and Dave Kearney and freshman Ken Klug, a Cleveland Class A sandlot product. A total of 13 freshmen are contending for action on a team that finished sixth in the conference last spring.

The only dual defeat of the season came at the hands of the University of Cincinnati, 67-27, Saturday, Feb. 17, in Cincinnati. As a team, the Kenyon performances and time were somewhat disappointing, though some individuals did excelent times. At UC, Dave Evans, Gene Ruth, and Phil Mayher all took first places for the bulk of Kenyon's 27 points. Though beaten, Mike Claggett and Tim Peirce registered their best 440 times. The Bearcats, who recruit heavily from sunnier climes, are considered to be one of the seven best in the country. They own five All-American swimmers, and the defeat was not unexpected. Lord Coach Tom Edwards expressed an intense disgust at Cincinnati's wholesale and unscrupulous recruiting policies, and stated that Kenyon would not swim against these "professionals" again.

Last Monday, the Lords defeated a weak Albion team, 75-29, as two school marks fell and another was tied. Standout Phil Mayher got a 2:05 record-breaking timing in the 200-yard backstroke and tied his own 200-yd. individual medley school record with a time of 2:16.4. Mayher's backstroke time would have won national honors two years ago and was termed "one of the nation's top five performances" by Edwards. Sophomore Tom LaBaugh churned the 200-yd. breaststroke in a record time of 2:32.0. In last Friday's rout of Ohio Wesleyan, Mayher returned to set a new mark in the 200-individual medley and his three victories spurred the 76-19 assault. It was Wesleyan's first conference setback this year. Kenyon took nine of a possible 11 first places, and eight second places while running up its biggest score of the season. Perhaps the biggest upset was the double defeat by Bud Kuppenheimer and John Oliver in the 50-yard freestyle and Dave Evans and Tom Hoffman in the 100 of Wesleyan's ace freestyler, Wink Franklin, who had been expected to win with his feet bound.

The Wesleyan meet saw the last appearances in Shaffer pool of six seniors, who have been the backbone of the team since their freshman year. Each of the six has been an integral member of the team, and will be sorely missed by Kenyon next winter. Gene Ruth and Joe Sapere, the only divers on the team, hope to finish high in the conference rankings. Ruth will be out for an unprecedented fourth straight conference crown. Long the team's mainstay in the 200-yard breaststroke and butterfly, and former co-captain for two years, Jim Carr hopes to conclude his Kenyon aquatic career by qualifying in three events in the conference meet. Tom Hoffmann, the team's best 100-yd. freestyler, has been a member of previous conference championships and record-setting freestyle and medley relays. The team will miss not only the freestyling of co-captain John Oliver, but his fine leadership and constant inspiration as well.

And, of course, Phil "Nonpau-eil" Mayher of Shaker Heights,

Case began the scoring midway through the first period despite the efforts of Lord goalie Bob Coughlan, playing his best game in two years at that position. Case scored once again early in the second period during a pileup in front of the Kenyon cage. Throughout the first two periods the Case goalie frustrated the Lord stickmen with excellent saves but they were determined not to be denied. Early in the third period, John Teare scored on a pass from Bob Goldman to put the Lords within one goal of a tie. Teare's goal came seconds after he had assisted on a goal by Goldman, which was nullified by a Kenyon infraction. Unfortunately, the stickmen were not able to cash in on many other assaults on the Case goal.

If the remarkable improvement in the stickmen's defense of the past two games continues, they could very well surprise Ohio State tonight at Columbus. The Kenyon-Case box score:

KENYON	0	0	1-1
Case	1	1	0-2

KENYON: Lindeman, Chase, Withington, Hawk, Goldman, Teare, offense; Frost, Gund, Hershey, defense; Coughlan, goalie.

(Cont. on Page 4, Col. 4)

RISING HOCKEY TEAM ALMOST SHOCKS CASE

A keyed-up Kenyon hockey club, playing at its best, almost upset heavily favored Case Tech last Saturday in a game played at the Cleveland Arena. Kenyon's 2-1 defeat is indicative of the closeness of the tilt.

Case began the scoring midway through the first period despite the efforts of Lord goalie Bob Coughlan, playing his best game in two years at that position. Case scored once again early in the second period during a pileup in front of the Kenyon cage.

Throughout the first two periods the Case goalie frustrated the Lord stickmen with excellent saves but they were determined not to be denied. Early in the third period, John Teare scored on a pass from Bob Goldman to put the Lords within one goal of a tie. Teare's goal came seconds after he had assisted on a goal by Goldman, which was nullified by a Kenyon infraction. Unfortunately, the stickmen were not able to cash in on many other assaults on the Case goal.

If the remarkable improvement in the stickmen's defense of the past two games continues, they could very well surprise Ohio State tonight at Columbus. The Kenyon-Case box score:

KENYON	0	0	1-1
Case	1	1	0-2

KENYON: Lindeman, Chase, Withington, Hawk, Goldman, Teare, offense; Frost, Gund, Hershey, defense; Coughlan, goalie.

There'll Be Some Changes Made?

EFFICIENCY GROUP SUGGEST REFORMS

(Cont. from Page 1, Col. 2)

be set in the latter part of a session, it is very difficult to avoid the 'bunching' of which students complain bitterly."

The grading system at Kenyon

Denison Halts Cagers' Efforts

(Cont. from Page 5, Col. 3)

and a 50% field goal average. Slade received the personal satisfaction of netting 28 points, 14 rebounds, and four direct assists. In Granville, the big fellow was held to just five points, his career low, and was incessantly ridden by a verbally abusive Granville gallery.

Kenyon commanded leads most of the time from six to nine points, and showed a 35-27 half-time advantage. Denison caused some anxiety for Kenyon fans in the second half, as it twice pulled to within three points of a tie. It was 40-37 at 16:38, after the Redmen caged five of its first six attempts to start the final period.

Harrison then called for the "rat," and this time it worked like a plague. Two and a half minutes later Kenyon was in the clear, 49-38. Thereafter, the Lords boasted leads up to 13 points through deliberate ball-handling, matching the opponent basket for basket. Kenyon padded its lead to 10 points with six minutes to go, and all doubt was removed when Slade hung up three straight shorties for a 69-58 lead at 2:50. Sophomore forward Randy Livingston fouled out at 4:39, but the damage had been done, and Denison remained virtually paralyzed the duration of the contest.

Slade, hampered by a bruised hip incurred in the Mt. Union duel, topped the scoring with a tasty 28 points, followed by Collins' 19 and Klug's 10. Injured Dave Schmid, playing one of his best games in a Kenyon uniform, made many fine assists and was Johnny-on-the-spot on defense. He also added 13 points. Six-six freshman center Jim Brown was top Red dog with 17.

Mt. Union was Kenyon's ninth straight victim, Saturday, Feb. 17, as the Lords dealt the Purple Raiders a stinging 86-71 setback in Gambier. The complexion of the game was pretty much one-sided throughout, and Slade again paced the scoring with 34 points.

Kenyon's record-breaking win skein came to an end, 65-63, against Capital, Feb. 20, in Columbus. Slade, who led the pack with 31 points and 16 rebounds, fouled out with his team four ahead at 4:11. Livingston made his exit two minutes before, and Collins was chased with two minutes to go and Kenyon still on top.

Letter to the Editor

(Cont. from Page 3, Col. 4)

lence of studies. At the same time it would be even more difficult to show a positive correlation between the two. It seems strange to promote sports when there is any doubt as to the excellence of the College's studies.

Far be it from me to suggest that Kenyon might not be "a first-rate liberal arts college of the highest excellence," as our leaders so firmly insist. Nevertheless, if there are changes that can improve our rating academically, we cannot afford to neglect the opportunity to raise the very standards of excellence. We should at the same time question the value of things unessential and perhaps harmful to excellence of the academy.

Samuel A. Richmond

may be found not beyond change. The abandonment of the cumulative average, its replacement by a program in which students would be placed in one of four categories: "failure," "pass," "distinction," "high distinction" is contemplated. The elimination of deficiency reports for all but freshmen in the first semester may also be instituted.

COMPULSORY CLASSES

Prominent among the Subcommittee's proposals is that attendance at classes "no longer be compulsory" for upperclassmen. The committee, having studied the attendance records at classes where cuts were habitually not recorded, added confidently "It must be emphasized that we do not envisage any large scale cutting of classes." "The practice

of using such devices as unannounced quizzes or hour tests as a mode of enforcing class attendance should be abandoned," the Committee's report declares.

As we went to press, the subcommittee's proposals had already been amended, redrafted. Criticism and change, difficulties of application, exceptions to the rule would doubtless be discovered in the weeks ahead. What President Lund called the "minutiae" of the report — isolated specific points — might prove subject to revision, rejection, neglect. Whether the underlying attitude, the commitment to academic excellence, the declaration that a school's attendance, examination, grading systems might have other goals than the protection of unreliable students of mediocre abilities would meet a scrutiny similarly harsh remained to be seen.

Dorothy's Lunch

Gambier

BEER and FOOD

PATRONIZE THE

ADVERTISERS

Standout Slade Finishes Career

(Cont. from Page 5, Col. 3)

Slade eclipsed the school career record during the Union holiday tournament against Hamilton with 42 points. One more game would have also sent him over Rixey's single season record of 550 points, made in 1948, when as a junior the Terrace Park Terror played in 22 games and compiled a 25-point average. Slade saw action in the same number of games this year, and sported a 24-point median. In 1948, Rixey made 40.5 per cent on field goals to Slade's 43.4 per cent, but netted 71% on free throws to Slade's 65.7% this year. Rixey still holds the single game high, adding 43 points to a losing cause, 74-69, against Ashland College in March of 1948.

Voted to the all-conference team in 1960 and 1961, the lanky center should repeat in 1962. In addition, he is currently the leading candidate for the Gregory Memorial Award, presented to the league's most valuable player as chosen by the coaches. The only conceivable mark against him would be the fact that over four

seasons, he has played for a loser. Slade and Co. compiled a four-year mark of 32 and 49.

Slade, who already has claim to two O.C. top scoring and rebounding trophies, has unofficially done it again with his 24.0 scoring average and 14.6 rebounding average. He also registered five team highs for one game this year — most points, 42 (Hamilton); most field goals scored, 16 (Hamilton); most free throws scored, 17 (Marietta); most free throws attempted, 24 (Marietta), and most rebounds, 23 (St. Lawrence).

An economics major, Slade's future includes graduate school and/or professional basketball before pursuing a business career.

About his coach, Bob Harrison, Slade remarked, "I have nothing but the highest praise for the man. He is the finest basketball coach I have ever encountered. For the benefit of future teams, I just hope he'll stick around. Whether or not many people realize it, he certainly changed the complexion of basketball at Kenyon."

And whether or not many people realize it, an era in Kenyon basketball has ended, but the spirit of No. 22 will be remembered.

THE BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES SALUTE: DON PICKARD

Soon after his return from the Army two years ago, Don Pickard began managing a telephone public office in Chicago. Because of his skill in handling the public relations tasks of this job, Don was transferred to a new job in the Public Relations Department. Here his public office experience comes in very handy as he works with other

departments of the company to promote the kind of service that lives up to customer expectations.

Don Pickard of the Illinois Bell Telephone Company, and other young men like him in Bell Telephone Companies throughout the country, help bring the finest communications service in the world to a growing America.



BELL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

TELEPHONE MAN-OF-THE MONTH

